Part 2. Staff strategies for responding to unreasonable conduct

2.1. Recognising the early warning signs

Early intervention is the most effective way to prevent and/or minimise the impacts of unreasonable conduct by some complainants, clients or other people who interact with your organisation. By recognising some of the warning signs you may be able to identify difficult and potential cases of unreasonable conduct and manage them better before they become a bigger problem.

These warning signs are not the only factors to consider – none of them is individually determinative and they do not always escalate into unreasonable conduct. Even so, in our experience, they are almost always present in cases where such conduct becomes an issue.

It is important to consider all of the factors that may be influencing a person's behaviour. For example, some cultural groups commonly use certain communication styles that may appear repetitive, aggressive or argumentative. You may want to consider these factors before taking action.

See – 3.3. Cultural communication styles.

The behaviour exhibited by some people may also be influenced by a disability or disorder. While it is important not to engage in diagnosis, in some cases you will know about one or more conditions that may have an impact on a person's behaviour. This will be particularly important when considering restricting a person's access to services or locations.

See – 3.4. Guidance on disability and mental illness.

See – 5.1. Modifying or restricting access to staff, premises or services.

Some of the warning signs of unreasonable conduct are very obvious, but others are subtle. Some may be identified early on and others may only develop or become clear later on in interactions with case officers or organisations. In some cases, this may be at the end of the investigation when an otherwise reasonable and cooperative person discovers that the outcome is not what they expected. Identifying these warning signs should not cause you to be disrespectful, unfair or to act partially.

2.1.1. Some of the early warning signs of unreasonable conduct

The person's history – the person has:

- had a significant number of previous interactions with your organisation about their issue or related issues
- · made a significant number of internal review requests about the same issue
- made repeated contact with various other organisations, MPs, Ministers or oversight bodies about their issue
- made a number of access to information requests for information about how their issue had been handled
- complained about a case officer's (or organisation's) integrity or competence without justification, citing concerns largely or solely relating to their dissatisfaction with the outcome of their complaint, the level of attention they were given, etc.
- suffered disproportionate losses in their personal and/or professional lives as a result of pursuing their issue – such as unemployment problems, bankruptcy, clinical depression and/or divorce

- a known history of physical violence or serious verbal threats, including having a previous history with police in relation to such issues
- safety alerts on their file
- a history of substance abuse or mental health issues.

Outcomes sought – the person wants:

- a manifestly unreasonable amount of organisational time and resources to be spent dealing with their issue(s)
- financial compensation that is manifestly inappropriate or unreasonable in the circumstances
- an illogical, irrational or otherwise clearly unjustified outcome
- an apology when it is clearly not warranted or the terms of the apology sought are clearly unreasonable
- revenge or retribution (if this appears to be their primary motivation) as opposed to vindication, which may be entirely reasonable in the circumstances
- their matter pursued as a matter of 'principle' or in the 'public interest', when the issue is clearly primarily personal.

Style of writing – their electronic or written communications contain:

- text that is UPPERCASE, lowercase, <u>underlined</u>, <u>bolded</u>, highlighted or in different colours,
 FONTS and SiZeS
- extensive and/or inappropriate uses of technical language, such as legal or medical terminology
- a formatting or reporting style that appears to imitate an official style
- · excessively dramatic language and/or idiosyncratic emphasis
- multiple ccs addressed to various people and/or organisations
- text or notes all over the page(s), including in the margins
- references to themselves in the third person by name or as 'the victim' or 'the defendant'
- repeated restatements of their issue, often done in different ways
- an excessive number of pages and/or supporting information, most of which is irrelevant, for example photocopies, press clippings, diary entries or testimonials.

Interaction with the organisation – the person:

- is consistently rude, confronting or angry, aggressive, or unusually frustrated
- is overly complimentary, ingratiating or manipulative, or makes veiled threats
- makes excessive phone calls, sends excessive amounts of information and correspondence/emails, or regularly makes appointments to discuss their issue(s) when it is not needed
- · makes continuing and escalating complaints which often follow one after another
- gives forceful instructions about how their issue 'must' be dealt with
- has an unreasonable or unrealistic sense of entitlement about how they should be treated, their issue(s) dealt with or their expected outcome

- · displays an inability to accept responsibility and blames others
- is entirely focused on the past and is not interested in moving forward or looking for a resolution
- increasingly attributes improper, immoral, unethical or corrupt motives or intentions to the organisation or individuals the subject of their concerns
- appears to have a low anger threshold and limited self-control
- has a pattern of contact while under the influence of drugs or alcohol
- refuses to define their issues of concern when they are clearly capable of doing so
- has a strong belief that their issue is of far greater importance than the facts show
- resists logical explanation if it is contrary to their own views
- refuses to accept advice, even if it is clearly valid and reasonable
- intentionally harasses, intimidates, embarrasses or annoys the people they are interacting with to get their own way
- provides information in dribs and drabs, despite requests to provide all relevant information or intentionally withholds information
- provides false information
- makes excessive demands on resources copies, expert opinion etc.

You may want to consider cultural issues further before taking action. For example, those who favour an intellectual communication style may appear confrontational as they tend to use debate and argument to get to know others and establish trust. Also, those who favour an expressive style may appear rude or angry because they tend to use volume, tone and demonstrative body language to show (as well as state) how important their issue is.

See – 3.3. Cultural communication styles.

Reaction to their issue not being dealt with or substantiated, or the inquiries into it being discontinued – the person:

- refuses to accept the decision made/outcome reached in their matter
- continually reframes their issue of concern in an attempt to have it taken up again
- · provides previously withheld information in an attempt to have their case reopened
- raises a range of minor or technical issues and argues that they somehow invalidate the decision/outcome of their complaint
- expects a review of the decision/outcome based simply because they are dissatisfied with it and without making a clear argument why the decision was wrong
- · demands further reviews if they are not satisfied with the outcome of the previous review
- takes their issue to other forums alleging bias or corruption on the part of the case handler or organisation, simply because the decision went against them.

See – 2.13. Dealing with anger through effective communication.

2.1.2. Things to do when you recognise the early warning signs of unreasonable conduct

If you recognise these early warning signs in your interactions with a particular person, consider the following:

- Make sure that you do not act prematurely Just because you have identified a potential
 problem does not mean it will necessarily become one. Avoid being judgemental or
 overly reactive because the person may just be having a bad day.
- Check your communication style Think about how you have interacted with, and reacted to, this person. What can you do differently to bring about a different response from them?
- Stop, think, and arm yourself with the strategies By identifying the potential for trouble early on you have a unique opportunity to think through the appropriate strategies.
- Seek guidance It is always easier to prevent unreasonable conduct than to deal with
 it once it becomes a serious problem. Speak to a colleague or consider sitting down
 with your supervisor to develop an action plan on how you will respond if the person's
 conduct escalates. Having a plan helps to take some of the stress and anxiety out of
 the situation.
- Assess the risks Assess the situation to see if the person's conduct poses an
 unreasonable level of risk to your health or safety or that of your colleagues/staff. This
 will usually help you to decide how you should respond in the circumstances.
- Set limits and communicate them to the person If necessary, talk to the person about the behaviour that has concerned you and inform them of your boundaries.
- Keep an open mind and remain positive There will be days when you will come across one, two, even three people who will test your patience and your desire for the job.
 Do not let it drag you down. There is always another day and another person who will remind you why you love doing the work you do.

2.2. When does conduct become unreasonable?

A person's conduct can be considered to be unreasonable if it has unacceptable consequences for one or more of the parties to the interaction. In the complaint handling context, this would include the case officer and the organisation handling their complaint, the staff and organisation the subject of the complaint, other current or potential complainants or other services users, and even the complainant themselves in certain circumstances.

It is not always easy to distinguish between behaviour that makes you feel uncomfortable or is challenging to deal with and behaviour that is so unreasonable that it is unacceptable.

Sometimes this can be fairly straightforward – such as when a person is overtly violent or aggressive. However if a person is emotionally manipulative, subtle or underhanded, this assessment can be far more difficult. It can be even more challenging when conduct that might be reasonable in one set of circumstances may be unreasonable in another. For example, certain types of otherwise unreasonable conduct may be reasonable if a person has suffered loss – either in financial terms or in the impact on their wellbeing or that of a friend or close relative. The person's conduct may be proportional to the loss, provided the conduct does not pose a threat to the health or safety of others. Another example may be where a complaint has not been dealt with appropriately in the first instance. In such circumstances a demand to deal with a supervisor or manager may be entirely reasonable.

The following six criteria can help you to assess whether a person's conduct is, or has become, unreasonable.

The person's circumstances

Does the person have the health, intellectual, linguistic, financial and social resources needed to cooperate and meet the requirements of the complaint process? If they do, then more can be expected of them in terms of their conduct than if some or all of these resources are absent.

Are there any cultural influences that may be affecting the person's conduct that you might be misinterpreting – for example, in some cultures people prefer to talk quite closely which others may perceive as being intrusive?

See – 3.3. Cultural communication styles.

Proportionality

Is the person's behaviour/reaction proportionate when compared to the loss/harm they have suffered?

Are the person's demands on time and resources proportionate to the seriousness of their issue – for example wanting it dealt with by a supervisor or more senior staff member?

The person's responsiveness

Do appropriate calming measures and explanations help to settle the person down?

Is this the first time the person has displayed this type of behaviour or has it occurred in the past?

Has the person already been warned about their conduct?

Personal boundaries

Have your personal boundaries been crossed?

Do you feel unusually stressed, anxious, threatened or otherwise uncomfortable when interacting with the person?

The answer to these questions will be influenced by your personality and resilience, as everyone has different personal boundaries. Some people and cultures have a high tolerance for swearing, raised voices or insults, while others do not.

Conduct that is unreasonable and unacceptable under all circumstances

Does the conduct involve aggression, harassing words or actions, threats, violence or assault? This should never be tolerated under any circumstances.

Jurisdictional issues

Is there any legislation or policy that may limit or affect the types of strategies you can use to manage the person's conduct? For example, does the person have a statutory right to the services provided by your organisation thereby preventing you/your organisation from terminating their access to those services?

Case study example - Identifying unreasonable conduct

A child support welfare payment recipient contacted an Ombudsman's office to discuss their unresolved complaint with the welfare agency. When he was told that the matter would not be investigated by the Ombudsman, the person became argumentative and started to yell each time the investigation officer attempted to speak. The person was cautioned that he had to stop yelling or the call would be ended. The person calmed down and then ran through a litany of current complaints he had with an energy company, a water company and a telecommunications company – including a harassment case involving an energy company because he had been calling them 400 times a week.

This helped the investigation officer realise why the person's relationship with the welfare agency had broken down. The investigation officer advised him how to constructively raise his complaints with the agency and the other bodies and organisations he was dealing with, and referred him to community support when he said he had difficulty writing complaint letters. The person accepted the contact details and said he would get in touch with those community organisations.

2.3. Strategies for managing unreasonable persistence

The principle underlying the strategies and script ideas for managing unreasonable persistence is about saying 'no'. Done properly, 'no' should be firm but polite. It should not be defensive or overly apologetic and should make it clear to the person concerned that no amount of pressure will change the decision/position that has been reached.

2.3.1. Interrupts the case officer or does not allow the case officer to speak

There are four different options for dealing with this type of conduct.

1. Reflection, echoing and paraphrasing – in any conversation where you need to interrupt

It is generally best not to interrupt or challenge a person while they are telling their story or giving an explanation. Paraphrasing is a powerful communication tool which allows you to:

- · interrupt without triggering resistance or being seen as disrespectful
- get them to listen to you, because people listen very hard to people repeating their views back to them
- take control of the conversation and ensure you have 'got it right' on the spot, because if
 you have got it wrong the other person will correct you
- where relevant, reflect back to the other person what they have said in ways that
 highlight the unreasonable nature of any statements which may well cause them to
 modify those statements so they are more reasonable
- create empathy because the other person believes you are trying to understand their point of view
- cause the other person to feel they need to listen to your point of view because you have listened to theirs.

2. The silent approach³ – for moderately persistent people

Say nothing - do not speak at all.

Let the person tell their story and 'let off some steam'. Any attempts to interrupt them – other than interrupting to paraphrase or echo what they have said to you – will likely get them more annoyed and keep them talking. This is especially important for people who rely heavily on the use of storytelling and styles of communication that strongly rely on providing context. This is a style of communication that will largely be used on the telephone.

Eventually, the person is likely to stop and ask:

- Hello, are you there?
- Are you listening?

At this point, you can either state firmly that you wish to speak or guide where the discussion goes next.

^{3.} Bacal R, Defusing hostile customers workbook, p 72

If you allow the person to keep talking, you may try to break their monologue by repeating their name, a key word or the last word they said:

- I know you're upset ... You do sound upset etc.
- I know you're upset and would like to tell me about ..., but I need you to let me speak for a moment.
- You've been speaking for ... minutes now. I wonder whether I might have a chance to respond to what you've been saying.
- Now that you've said what's on your mind, let me make sure I've got it right so we can figure out what to do next.

Do not back down when they try to interrupt again.

3. Repetition – for very persistent people

Repeat the same word or short phrase over and over until the person hears and processes your message (remember – anger can affect our ability to process information).

• I recognise that would be upsetting/frustrating for you. Let me explain why this has happened/what we can do next.

The person continues ...

• It's clear you're upset/frustrated. Let me explain what has happened/what we can do.

The person continues ...

- It is/would be upsetting/frustrating. Can I explain why this has happened/what we can do?
- If the person still continues ...
- It is upsetting/frustrating. What happened was .../Here's what we/you can do next

And so on.

4. The 'stop' approach – for people who you know from experience to be extremely persistent

Interrupt the person's monologue at the outset and assert control.

If this does not work, reschedule and terminate the call – preferably for 24–48 hours or sooner if the issue needs your immediate attention:

- [Mr/Ms ...], I need you to [answer/give me information] before I can get into the details of what happened. Otherwise we'll have to go over this all over again. So tell me about ...
- Until you give me [information] I can't respond to what you're saying. You'll have to tell me the whole story over again, which would just be an ineffective use of both our time/the short amount of time available
- Before I can help you with ..., I need to get some information from you. Is it okay if I ask you a few questions about ... ?
- Unfortunately, I can't really help you until you tell me about ... So can you tell me about ... ?
- [Mr/Ms ...], I only have [X minutes] for this conversation. In this time I need you to answer [list questions]. Once you've answered these questions, we can discuss the problem that you're having further. So beginning with

At the end of the designated time period, end the call. If necessary, reschedule and remind the person of the information you need from them before you can move forward with their issue/other issues.

2.3.2. Bombards the organisation with phone calls, visits or written correspondence when it is not warranted

Firmly ask the person to stop the behaviour and tell them that they will be contacted when necessary.

Limit phone calls to short intervals – for example, five minutes.

Advise them that they have to book an appointment through the main reception if they want to meet with you (or another staff member), and limit the frequency and length of those meetings as appropriate.

Wait to respond to streams of letters or emails until you receive a number of them (for example, three or four). One way of slowing down constant email communications is to respond by mail. Each communication should still be acknowledged, either by telephone or email, unless they are particularly unreasonable in number – in which case restrictions may be needed.

See – 4.1. Management roles and responsibilities.

2.3.3. Contacts different people within the organisation in the hope of getting a different outcome or more sympathetic response – internal 'forum shopping'

Avoid having extended conversations with people whose issue is being or has already been dealt with by another case officer.

Expressly ask people at the outset if they have already talked to someone about their issue. If so, identify the relevant person and refer them to that person to ensure consistency.

Identify complainants at the start of any contact so you can check for their personal information in your case management system to see if their complaint is being/has already been dealt with – especially when you suspect they are not being truthful.

Make sure you keep accurate and contemporaneous records of all communications and interactions with people raising concerns to minimise the likelihood of this 'forum shopping' behaviour.

See – 4.2. Recording and reporting incidents.

2.3.4. Sends their complaint to multiple people/organisations to try and get a different outcome – external 'forum shopping'

Do not take up matters that have already been dealt with fairly, reasonably and comprehensively by another organisation – unless they raise issues that specifically require further action by your organisation.

Treat cc'd communications as being 'for your information' rather than a complaint to your organisation, unless it raises an issue that your organisation decides requires further action.

Ask complainants early on if they have already raised their issue with another organisation.

If they have, ask for copies of any final correspondence from that organisation to help you better understand their issues and decide if additional action is needed by your organisation. However, this information should not be used in a way that would affect your ability to impartially assess the complaint.

Draft final letters as standalone documents that can be used to brief any third party/ organisation that the complainant takes their issue to next – for example, the media or the Minister.

See – 2.13. Dealing with anger through effective communication.

2.3.5. Demands a review simply because they disagree with the decision and does not make a case for one

Clearly explain that your organisation has a one review policy and stick to it (if your organisation has adopted such a policy, which is recommended).

Advise them that to receive a review they have to make clear arguments for one. For example, they need to:

- explain how or why you/your organisation has made an error in handling their issue
- explain how or why the decision or outcome reached is inappropriate in the circumstances
- provide new information or evidence that would justify a review.

Have final review letters signed by an appropriate senior officer or the CEO to show that the decision has been made by senior management and further escalation would serve little purpose.

2.3.6. Refuses to accept a final decision after all avenues of review have been exhausted

Maintain a 'no means no' stance after a review.

Avoid arguments or extended discussions with people who are not receptive to your position, particularly if their issue has been dealt with comprehensively.

Refer them back to the final letter or review letter and advise that the letter speaks for itself. If they have additional concerns, they should put them in writing – this will only be responded to if it raises a valid issue or provides substantial new evidence that affects the decision or outcome reached. Failing this, their correspondence will be 'read and filed without acknowledgement or response'.

If the person is 'forum shopping', refer them to the original case officer/case reviewer who dealt with their issue to ensure consistency in the information given and the approach taken towards managing their conduct.

End phone calls or interviews that are unproductive.

2.3.7. Reframes their issue in an attempt to get it taken up again

Do not allow people to reframe their issues, particularly if they have already received a review.

Advise them that their issue will not be revisited unless:

- the circumstances of their case have changed substantially and are likely to affect the organisation's decision/the outcome
- they provide new and substantial information or evidence that is likely to affect the appropriateness of the decision made/outcome.

Identify the complainant at the start of any contact to determine whether their issue has already been raised or dealt with by your organisation. If so, refer them to the case officer who previously dealt with the person or the issue.

Make sure you keep accurate and contemporaneous records of all communications and interactions with people raising concerns to minimise the likelihood of the same issue being revisited.

Note: Be careful not to disregard issues that are sufficiently different from other issues raised by the same person and that require further action by you/your organisation.

2.3.8. Makes an issue out of anything when things do not go their way, including complaining about how their matter was handled or someone's skills or competence

Decline complaints that are not supported by clear evidence or where there is no practical purpose for pursuing them.

Provide people with clear instructions about the threshold that their complaints must meet before they will be taken up. For example, the person should:

- raise a substantial new issue
- support this with clear evidence that suggests that the event/issue they are complaining about happened.

Explain that clear evidence includes:

- · copies of official documents
- photographs
- videos
- anything that shows or tends to show that what they are complaining about occurred.

Tell them clearly and firmly that complaints about you/your colleague/your organisation will not change the outcome of their original complaint, except if there has been a clear error – which they will have to explain in writing.

If a person threatens to complain about you, provide them with the information they need to do so.

Although it can be difficult, try not to take unfounded personal attacks or threats to complain about you personally. Often this is an attempt by a person to bully or coerce you to agree to their demands, or to take their frustrations out on you.

2.3.9. Persists in wanting to know where to go next, when it has been explained that there is nowhere else to go

Do not suggest or refer the person to another organisation simply to appease them or 'get rid of them'. Referrals should only be made if the other organisation is likely to be able to help the person and unlikely to contribute to their frustration or anger.

Be honest and upfront if you are not aware of anywhere else for them to raise their issue.

Do not engage in extended discussions that are likely to give the person false hopes about their issue or possibilities of success.

2.4. Scripted responses to unreasonable persistence

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
You're not listening to me.	Well [name] I have been listening to you. I've been listening to you for [minutes] now and if you allow me to speak
	I'd like to help you, but before I can do that I need to ask you a few questions
	• I can see you're concerned and I'd like to help, but I need to first.
	• Let's see what we can do to get things going/get you what you need.
	When a person's communication style differs significantly from yours, they may feel that they have not been heard – regardless of your words. Consider whether your approach is the most appropriate style of communication for the person.
	See – 3.3. Cultural communication styles.
I have more proof/ information or I still	• Can you please stop? [explain – for example, sending me emails every day]
haven't told you about	 If/when I need more information I'll let you know. Until then, please stop
	 I already asked you not to send any more information/emails/ I ask again that you please stop.
	 You have emailed/phoned/met with us about this issue [number of times]. Unfortunately we have nothing new to tell you. When we do we'll let you know right away.
	 Your frequent emails/phone calls/meetings are taking me away from doing other important work relating to your complaint Please give me time to get them done because, until I do, I will not have anything new to tell you/I won't be able to read anything new that you sent to me until
	• I can't deal with your complaint properly while you're sending all of this information. You'll have to decide whether you want to withdraw your complaint while you get your information together, or let us move forward with what I have and the issues we've identified. What's happening now simply isn't working.
	 Because I've already asked you [number of times] to stop, I'll be following this discussion up with a written request that you stop I'd appreciate it if you'd agree to stop.
Suspected or	Have you been in contact with anyone else in the office about this issue?
actual internal forum shopping.	If yes, find out who and redirect them as appropriate.
	If no, get their name [with correct spelling] and check the system anyway. Otherwise, log their personal details and complaint/inquiry information as appropriate.
	 It seems [person] is dealing with your issue. Because they are more familiar with the details of your issue, I'll need to forward your phone call to them.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	• It looks like [person] has spoken to you about this. One minute, while I check if they are available to speak to you right now.
	 Our system shows that you've tried to speak with a number of people about this issue. I should remind you that [person] is responsible for handling your complaint. I can get them to call you back if you like. Do you have their phone number?
	 A lot of work goes into allocating issues/complaints to the right officers and making sure that we use our resources in the best way possible. [Person] is responsible for handling your issue/complaint and is very capable of doing so.
	 [Person] is responsible for handling your issue/complaint and will do so exclusively, unless we think this needs to change. Would you like me to transfer you over to them now?
Be advised that I have notified/cc'd	 Our policy is to treat cc'd letters and emails as general information and not as an issue/complaint to be actioned by this organisation.
the Ombudsman/	We generally don't respond to cc'd letters and emails, unless
Minister/third party.	 If you want your letter/email to be dealt with as a complaint, you will need to clearly indicate this and identify the specific issues you want us to look at.
	 For us to deal with your complaint appropriately, we need you to clearly identify the issues you want us to look at and explain how the information you've sent supports each issue.
	Have you raised this with another organisation?
	• [If yes] It would be useful if you included copies of the correspondence that you've received from them about this issue.
	 It's clear that this has been dealt with by organisation(s)/people. Because we haven't found any outstanding issues that we can help you with/it's unlikely we'll get a different outcome for you, we've decided not to pursue this further.
	• It seems your issue/complaint is being handled by more than one organisation right now. We generally wait until other organisations have finished their investigations before we consider taking up an issue/complaint to minimise repetition. Feel free to contact us again once these investigations are finished if you're still unsatisfied. We'll see if it's something we can help you with then.
	Take care to avoid situations where a person's issue is declined by all relevant organisations, simply because the person has admitted to sending it to other organisations. Communication between organisations can be useful so long as it does not breach any privacy or confidentiality obligations.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
I want this reviewed/ someone else to handle my issue/ complaint.	 Our organisation doesn't provide a review automatically. If you want one, you'll have to put your request in writing and explain why it's needed. We will then consider it and get back to you.
	 Before we can review our decision on your issue/complaint, you'll have to write in and explain why and how you think we have made an error either in the way we handled your issue/complaint or in the decision we have made
	 Simply disagreeing with our decision isn't a reason for us to provide a review/get another officer involved with your issue/complaint. You'll have to make a case for one by [explain].
	• I suggest that you take time to re-read the decision that we sent you and carefully consider if we have made an error or if you simply disagree with the decision. Disagreeing with our decision isn't a reason for us to provide a review.
	 We can review your issue/complaint if you wish, but I must warn you that we have a one review policy at this office – this means [provide relevant details of policy and what it means for the person].
You call that a review? You clearly don't understand what I'm	When someone asks for a review of the decision on their complaint the matter is given to another case officer, usually a more senior officer, who makes a fresh assessment of the case. In your case that officer found Our office stands by this finding.
complaining about	 I appreciate that this issue is very important to you, but we won't be taking any further action on it.
or You've made the wrong finding [after a review].	• Simply disagreeing with our decision isn't a sufficient reason for us to revisit this issue again. We've explained to you in detail [refer to any relevant correspondence here] how and why we made the decision we have. This hasn't changed.
	 You've had an opportunity to have your complaint reviewed under our one review policy and we are satisfied with the outcome of that review. Any other correspondence that you send to us about this issue will be read and filed without acknowledgement, unless we decide that it requires our attention.
	 As we explained to you before, we only review decisions once. Because of this we make sure that all reviews are very thorough. Your concerns about how your complaint was dealt with were/ the outcome of your complaint was thoroughly considered and unfortunately we do not agree with the issues you raised.
	We'll only reconsider a review decision in highly exceptional cases [explain].
	 To make sure we distribute our resources fairly to everyone who complains to our office/organisation we only provide one review of a decision. This has been explained to you in the past.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
You can't be finished with my complaint. You haven't looked	• It's unfortunate, but our office/organisation is unable to help you with this issue because This has already been explained to you in some detail.
at/considered/ answered	• It seems that you've contacted us before about this issue and were told that There is nothing else that we can do for you in relation to this issue.
	• It seems this issue/a similar issue may have already been brought to our attention. I'll have to look into it and call you back. Is that okay?
	This issue has already been considered by our office/organisation. You were sent a letter on explaining our position on it with reasons. Unless you have new evidence or information that is likely to affect our decision, we won't revisit it again.
	 I think that the correspondence we've already sent to you about this clearly explains why we are unable to deal with it any further. Unfortunately, I have nothing else to add to this.
It's your fault. How could you let	 I can see that you're upset and I'd like to help, but I won't accept you telling me that I am incompetent.
or You're incompetent.	• I understand that your issue/complaint is important to you and that you are disappointed with the decision that I've made/what I'm telling you. However, making personal attacks against me is not productive. I'll have to end this conversation if this continues.
Who can I complain	 I'll have to end this call if we can't keep to the issues.
to about you/your organisation/the	 I'm sorry we weren't able to do what you wanted us to do/had hoped we could do. The fact is [explain the case details].
way you handled my complaint?	• I appreciate that you would have liked us to take up your case. The fact is we are impartial investigators, not advocates for complainants. In this case we have decided
	• I'm not sure how you want/expect me to respond to this.
	 You can put your concerns in writing and we'll consider them. If we don't think that they raise a substantial issue that requires our attention, your letter will be read and filed without acknowledgement.
	We won't review the decision that has been made about your issue/ complaint because However, if you wish you can make a complaint about me and you are free to do so.
	 One thing I should clarify for you now is that we won't be reviewing our decision about because However, if you believe that I/ another officer have/has done something wrong, you are welcome to complain about it. A senior manager would look into your complaint and, if it is substantiated, will decide on the appropriate course of action to be taken.
	• I'm sorry you feel that way. My intention was to conduct an impartial assessment of your complaint to the standard required by my office/ organisation, which I believe I have. If you have concerns about what I've done, you're welcome to put your complaint in writing to our office/organisation.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
Where can I go where my complaint will be taken seriously?	 I'm not aware of any other avenues of redress that may be available to you. It seems you've exhausted all avenues I can think of. Outside of the organisations you've already contacted, I can't think of anywhere else for you to take your issue/complaint. I don't want to waste your time by sending you to another organisation that I don't think can help you. I can't think of another organisation that can help you with this. Sometimes there are problems that can't be sorted out by any organisation.
I'm going to the media/Minister/ Ombudsman etc.	 You are free to contact anyone that you think might be able to help you That's for you to decide. You're free to take your matter to any forum you choose. That option is certainly open to you. It's for you to decide if you want to contact the media I have no opinion about whether you should go to the Minister/the media/ about this. This is really for you to decide. That's certainly your right. We would have hoped that you would be satisfied with this outcome/our decision because but, it's really up to you which path you want to take. It's up to you to do what you consider appropriate now. As I said, that's completely up to you. If that's the case, then I guess we don't have anything else to discuss.
Unproductive/ stressful phone call or interview.	 I understand that you're unhappy and I've tried to explain to you how I came to make the decision that I have. But I'm unable to spend any more time explaining it to you. Perhaps you might want to put any additional concerns you have in writing and we will consider them. I feel that I've given you all the information I can about this and our conversation seems to be unproductive/circular. Because I have other things to attend to, I'll need to end our discussion here. If you still have questions, you can put them in writing and if they require further action by our office/organisation we'll let you know. We've been discussing this for minutes now and it's clear that we don't agree on this issue. Unfortunately, I can't spend any more time explaining why I've taken the view that I have, but you can put your concerns in writing if you wish. We would then decide on what action, if any, our office/organisation will take. I don't think this conversation is productive for either of us now and I am going to end our call/interview. You have my full reasons in the letter I sent you. I see what you mean, but as I've explained that isn't something that we can help you with. It seems you want me to say something that I can't. I think it will be best to end our discussion here.

2.5. Strategies for managing unreasonable demands

The principle underlying the strategies and script ideas for managing unreasonable demands is about setting limits. When setting limits, you should:

- · identify the unreasonable demand
- expressly tell the person that the demand will not be met
- state why they need to stop making the demand (identify the limits)
- · offer the person a choice, if possible
- enforce the limits, as appropriate.

For example:

You've asked that I read your letter/email/complaint form right away because you want to come in and discuss it with me this afternoon. Unfortunately, this isn't possible because I have other equally pressing matters that I need to tend to first because they came in before yours. If you like, I can make time to discuss your matter with you on Thursday. By that time, I will have had an opportunity to read through your letter/email/complaint form and think about the issues that you've raised.

Some people will resort to emotional manipulation. This can include:

- threats of self-harm and/or harm to others
- threats to harass or otherwise create difficulties for you/your organisation
- threats to embarrass you/your organisation by taking their issue to an oversight body or the media
- pleading with you/your organisation to act in a certain way/help them because you are their last resort that they have nowhere else to go.

They may also make statements about you/your organisation:

- · being powerless
- not doing your job or performing your duty
- being biased or colluding with the people or organisations the subject of their issues of concern
- · protecting yourselves by not taking on perceived powerful interests
- · being a waste of time and/or money.

This type of behaviour should be recognised for what it is – an attempt by a person to bully, coerce or manipulate you to comply with their demands about how and when their issue should be dealt with. Although it is generally important to be able to empathise with people who are raising concerns, you should avoid doing so if they are being emotionally manipulative. In these situations, showing anything that could be perceived as weakness will only reinforce their behaviour. You should also recognise that in these situations you are actually in a position of control as the person is trying to get you to do something.

2.5.1. Makes demands about how their matter should be handled, including insisting on an immediate response

While complainants 'own' the issues that are the subject of their complaints, complaint handlers effectively 'own' how complaints made to them will be handled. Inform the complainant that it is you/your organisation and not them that must decide how the complaint will be handled, by whom, the amount of resources to be dedicated to it, the priority it will be given and the outcome.

Tell them clearly and firmly from the outset how the organisation intends to deal with the matter.

Be honest and upfront about what will and will not happen and what is and is not possible.

If applicable, explain that an immediate response is not possible because:

- there are other demands on your/your organisation's time and resources that make it impossible to respond immediately
- there are processes that must be followed that do take time
- to be fair to everyone, other than in exceptional cases you/your organisation deals with complaints on a first come, first served basis – and there are other complaints that came in before theirs.

2.5.2. Insists that you/your organisation respond to every point they have raised, including minor or irrelevant issues

Clarify the central issues of the complaint with the complainant early on and make it clear that these are the only issues that you/your organisation intend to address.

Where a person has raised a large number of issues in a complaint, particularly if many are of minor importance or irrelevant, tell the person that not every issue they have raised will be responded to. If appropriate, tell them that there is no legal obligation on you or your organisation to respond to every point that they have raised.

In giving a person such a message, it is never a good idea to use words such as 'unimportant', 'trivial', 'frivolous', 'vexatious' or 'not in good faith' as the person will be insulted or feel disrespected, which may only inflame the situation.

2.5.3. Insists on talking to a supervisor or senior manager personally, because they disagree with you/your decision

Tell the person clearly and firmly that if they want to make a complaint about you or to request a review of your decision they should do it in writing and provide clear reasons to support their claims/request.

If applicable, tell them that the advice you have given them/the decision that has been made will not change by talking to a supervisor/senior manager because they have already confirmed the advice.

Where relevant, refer the person back to the original case officer or reviewer who dealt with their matter to ensure consistency.

Managing unreasonable conduct by a complainant 33

Any organisation whose staff regularly deal with concerns raised by members of the public should adopt a policy about the circumstances where a person raising concerns may or should be referred to a more senior officer. These circumstances will depend on factors such as the importance to the organisation of repeat custom, the authority delegated to staff at different levels to make decisions to resolve complaints, etc.

Some people tend to be more aggressive towards frontline staff because they perceive them as having less authority or power than senior managers. This can result in demands to talk to others with 'more authority'. Depending on your organisation's approach to these requests, you should not automatically escalate such calls/demands if they are solely motivated by a disagreement with the advice you have given.

Some people from more hierarchical cultural backgrounds may find it very difficult to accept decisions from any but the most senior person in your organisation. Where applicable, refer them to letters or other correspondence that have been signed by these senior people.

End phone calls or interviews that are unproductive.

2.5.4. Wants regular and/or lengthy phone calls or face-to-face contact when it is not warranted

Avoid spending extensive amounts of time talking to a person early on in the complaints process because they may expect similar treatment later on – when it is not warranted.

If necessary, limit the length and frequency of interactions with the person. For example, you may try to limit interactions to previously agreed appointment days and times when either the person can call you or you will call them with an update on the progress on their matter – even if there has been no progress. If the person agrees to this arrangement you should try to enforce it to the extent possible (for example, if they try to contact you outside of the agreed days and times), unless they are raising an issue or providing evidence that requires your immediate attention.

All communications/interactions with complainants should serve a purpose. Be clear in your mind about the objective you wish to achieve in each interaction and make sure that conversations are focused on the central issues/tasks at hand. Remember that not everybody will use linear or direct styles of communication. Stories, metaphors and examples can still be focused on the central issues but may require you to listen differently.

If a person is sending frequent emails or making frequent calls, slow down the communication process when possible – by responding to emails or phone messages by letter or suggesting that the person send through their concerns in writing. The person should also be firmly advised that any documentation they send should be summarised and a clear explanation provided about how it relates to the core issues of their matter.

End phone calls and face-to-face interviews as soon as possible once it is clear that the person has no new information or issues to discuss.

Make sure that you keep accurate and contemporaneous records of all interactions with particular people so you can identify whether a person's demands on resources are excessive or unreasonable.

When you are concerned that a person's interactions with you/your organisation have become so excessive that they are unreasonable, carefully and cautiously assess (with a supervisor) whether that is the case – and then discuss the possible next steps.

2.5.5. Manipulates situations to cause guilt, intimidate, harass, shame, or wrongly portray themselves as innocent victims

Avoid showing any weakness in these situations and do not attempt to negotiate with people trying to manipulate you.

Stay focused on the central issues that need to be addressed. If the person is not able to keep focused on the central issues after some time, terminate the call or interview and reschedule for another time.

Do not respond to overly complimentary remarks. It is extremely unlikely that the person knows you well enough to make an assessment about your character or skill – good or bad.

Tell any person making threats of self-harm or threats to harm others that this sort of behaviour is unacceptable and the policy of your organisation is that you must terminate such conversations if it continues.

If appropriate, consider referring the person to an advocacy or support service that is better suited to help them – particularly if they have multiple and complex needs that extend beyond the scope of what you/your organisation can do for them.

2.5.6. Wants to discuss your personal life or makes unwanted sexual advances

Maintain clear personal and professional boundaries and avoid idle conversation about personal matters concerning yourself or the person.

Only discuss things that are relevant to the matter at hand and deflect any personal questions.

Any organisation whose staff regularly deal with concerns raised by members of the public should adopt a policy about staff discussing their personal life with people raising concerns with the organisation. If necessary, tell the person that your organisation has a policy that you are not allowed to discuss your personal life.

Explain that you only have a limited time to talk and therefore need to focus on their issues.

If the person is persistent, reschedule the discussion for another time. Alternatively, you might consider putting your questions to the complainant in writing.

You may also consider having the complainant re-assigned to another complaint handler (male or female) who they are less likely to engage in this type of behaviour with.

Some people from more hierarchical cultural backgrounds may interpret friendliness, the use of first names or other displays of informality as signs of friendship. They may then react negatively when you do not act as they expect a friend would – which is to decide in their favour regardless of the merits of their case, take their side in a dispute, etc. They may need to be reminded many times throughout the process, not just at the end, that you are an impartial agent and not their personal advocate.

Managing unreasonable conduct by a complainant 35

2.5.7. Contacts you outside of office hours to discuss their matter

Politely refuse to respond to any work related questions from any person who finds a way to contact you outside office hours and always maintain clear personal and professional boundaries.

Invite the person to book an appointment or call you during regular office hours.

If they have called you on your home phone, hang up. Notify your relevant supervisor or senior manager about the phone call and consider talking to them about having your phone number changed or unlisted.

If they have contacted you through your personal email account or through social media, do not respond. Forward or give your relevant supervisor or senior manager a copy of the contact. You can then discuss with them possible options for dealing with the issue. You may also wish to take personal steps to block the email account that the person used to send you their email communication. You should also avoid socialising with or 'befriending' such people online.

2.5.8. Demands answers to questions that have already been responded to comprehensively and/or repeatedly, when they are clearly capable of understanding these responses

End unproductive discussions/arguments about issues that have already been comprehensively responded to.

Refer the person back to the earlier correspondence/conversation and invite them to contact you again after they have read/reconsidered it – but only if they have specific and outstanding questions or issues.

Acknowledge that they are unhappy with your/your organisation's response, but explain that their issue has been comprehensively considered and responded to and will not be revisited.

If necessary, explain the circumstances in which their issue might be reviewed and clearly and firmly advise them that simply disagreeing with the organisation's conclusions or decision is an insufficient basis for doing so.

Be careful dealing with people who may have literacy or other language difficulties and make sure you explain the contents of any written correspondence sent to them. If this is unsuccessful over time, suggest that they ask someone else – such as a family member or support person – to explain the letter/written communication to them.

2.5.9. Demands information that you are not permitted to disclose/ provide – copies of sensitive documents, names and personal contact details of staff

Maintain a 'no means no', stance no matter how much a person tries to convince you otherwise.

Provide clear reasons why this type of information will not be disclosed.

Where relevant, advise the person that they can request certain information from public agencies under relevant access to information legislation (such as the *Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009 (NSW))* if they wish and explain the process for doing so/where they can access such information.

End unproductive phone calls.

2.5.10. Changes their issues or desired outcome while their matter is being dealt with

Clarify the central issues of concern with the person early on in case they change focus later on.

Make it clear that the focus of your investigation will only be on what you or your organisation consider to be the central or most important issues they have raised.

Stick to the initial issues or outcomes agreed to by the person/you/your organisation, unless:

- the circumstances of the case change and give rise to new and substantial issues
- there is new and substantial information or evidence that affects the appropriateness of the outcome achieved or proposed
- the new and desired outcomes are substantially different from the one achieved or proposed and are more suitable in the circumstances.

Ask the person to wait until their matter is resolved and they receive a final letter before raising their dissatisfaction.

Keep records of topics discussed and outcomes of phone calls and face-to-face interviews and write to the person outlining these topics and outcomes, requesting their agreement with a signature – this provides a written record in case the person changes their mind later on.

Note: You should be careful not to disregard new issues that are substantially different from the original matter and warrant further action by you/your organisation.

2.5.11. Insists on outcomes that are unattainable or inappropriate or that they are not 'entitled to'

Clarify the limitations of your system for dealing with concerns raised by members of the public and tell the person clearly and transparently if something is not possible/not going to happen.

Tell the person that you can only base your assessment and investigation on the facts you become aware of, and not their emotions or unsubstantiated recollections – no matter how valid they may be.

Manage their expectations early on by letting them know in advance:

- what can and cannot be done
- · how you/your organisation intends to deal with their matter
- the likelihood that they will achieve the outcome they are looking for.

Consider giving them a list of reasonable outcomes that you/your organisation may be able to achieve – being careful not to lead them on or give them false hope.

Avoid the 'I'm entitled to' argument as it rarely ends positively and often only escalates the situation.

'Agree to disagree' about which outcome (the one they want or the one that you/your organisation have proposed) is the most appropriate one without making the person feel their views are invalid.

Keep records of topics discussed and outcomes of phone calls and face-to-face interviews and write to the person outlining them and requesting their agreement with a signature. This provides a written record in case the person changes their mind later on.

2.6. Scripted responses to unreasonable demands

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
Statement or conduct	Possible responses
Call me back immediately/I'll call	 Perhaps no-one has taken the time to explain to you our process for dealing with concerns such as yours. Let me.
back in an hour if I don't hear back from you by then or	• I appreciate that you want this dealt with right away. But I'm sure that you can also understand that I do have several other matters that I have to deal with in addition to yours and which were brought to my attention first.
I want this fixed now.	 Other than in extraordinary circumstances we deal with matters on a first come, first served basis, and as you can imagine there are files that came in before yours. I'll be in contact with you in [number] days/weeks or sooner if I need more information from you.
	 Most people who raise concerns with us think that their matter is the most important one and want us to deal with it right away or ahead of other complaints. That's not possible in practice.
	 It's clear this is important to you and you want it handled a certain way, but there is a process that I must follow to make sure that it's dealt with appropriately and fairly for everyone involved.
	 We are dealing with your matter in the way we consider to be appropriate. It's unfortunate that you don't see things the same way.
	• I know you feel your matter is urgent. I've assessed it and have decided I should call the officer/organisation concerned. I'll be able to do this sometime this week/I'll need some time to do this and then to receive a response from them. How about you call me in [number] days/weeks and hopefully I'll have some information for you then.
	 Ultimately, if you are unhappy with the way we are handling your matter you are free to raise it with another organisation.
You've contacted the person/organisation I'm having problems with	• I'm aware of your views of this person. I find it helpful to contact the person most involved in the matter first, unless I consider it inappropriate. If I'm not satisfied with their response, I will go further up the line until I'm satisfied.
or	 You have given us your side of the story. We have also given [the other party] involved the opportunity to put their side of the story.
I told you not to contact them. They're liars.	• In the interest of fairness, I need to hear how the other party sees the issue. I'm sure you can appreciate that I need to get both sides of the story if the matter is to be resolved.
	• I can understand that you're concerned about that. It is usually the case that it is fair and relevant to get versions from both sides of a complaint. You've presented your side and we need to get their side too.
	 If the complaint is about the conduct of a member of staff, we would make inquiries at a higher level.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
You should have interviewed me/ contacted me/ allowed me to give	• I have carefully considered the information you sent us and I have made my decision based on that. If you have any further information that is relevant to this case, you can write to us and let us know that information.
you more information before you made	 Yes, that's correct. The information you provided was enough for me to consider the matter and make a decision.
your decision.	• I have assessed all the material – your submission as well as the documents I requested from [the other party]. If I had concluded that an investigation was required, I would have contacted you. In the end, my decision is that there appears to be no evidence that something went wrong.
	• I think the organisation's reply adequately addressed your concerns. If you are dissatisfied with it, we can talk about it now. [Discuss – point out any review option if the person is still dissatisfied.]
You haven't answered every issue I raised	 We decided that the central issues you raised with us were and these will be the focus of our response to you/our investigation.
with you.	 We've considered all the information you have supplied to us, but we don't intend to respond to every point you've raised.
	 Our organisation can look at whether In our letter to you we addressed issues. We didn't look at because
	• Given the number of concerns raised with us, we try our best to distribute our resources as fairly as possible across all of them. To do this we focus our attention and resources on the central/more substantial issues raised with us. In your case, we decided that the central issues were and we have responded/will respond to them accordingly.
	 There is no legal obligation on us to respond to every point you have raised with us.
	 We're satisfied that we've dealt with your matter adequately and will not be responding to the issues you're now raising/the other issues you've raised.
I want to speak to your supervisor/	• I'm in a position to respond to your concerns and to help you, without the need to get another case officer involved.
manager.	• From what you've said it seems you're raising an issue that I can help you with. All we have to do is
	 Why don't you tell me what your concern is so I can get a better sense of which officer/supervisor would be most suited to help you with it?
	 If you're looking to dispute my decision, you should put your concerns in writing. My supervisor doesn't have the detailed knowledge of your case to discuss it with you now.
	 If you wish to make a complaint about me, the policy of this organisation is that you must put it in writing.
	• If you would like to have done by today, then I'm the person who is available to help you with it. So it's up to you what you want to do.
	 I'm authorised to deal with this issue and would be happy for us to work together to find a solution that's appropriate for everyone.
	 My supervisor has reviewed your file and agrees with my decision [if this is indeed the case].

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
I want to speak with/meet with the director/CEO.	 For practical reasons the director/CEO doesn't generally meet or speak directly with people raising concerns with our organisation, but they have given me a delegation to deal with such concerns on their behalf.
	• I'm authorised to act on the director's behalf. You can speak to me now and we can see how we go.
	 Unfortunately, the director/CEO isn't able to speak with you, but I'm happy to discuss this with you and try to find a solution if you wish/but here's what I can do
	 I'm sure you can appreciate that the director/CEO, as head of the organisation, is a very busy person. That is why they have delegated authority to their staff to deal with matters like yours.
	• I understand your frustration/that you're angry/that you disagree with me on this issue and you would like to speak with the director/CEO about it. However, I can't meet that request. What I can do is
	 I understand that you disagree with me on this issue, but I'm unable to arrange a meeting with the director/CEO for you. The usual procedure in this office is for issues of concern to be raised in writing [apply to suit circumstances of your particular organisation].
	 If it's necessary, I can arrange a meeting with the officer dealing with your matter. Would you like me to do this for you now?
	 I've already spoken with you at length. A face-to-face meeting won't change the advice I've given you. You can send us additional information in writing and we'll then decide if another meeting is necessary.
I want to come and meet with you [when such a meeting is not necessary].	 I can see that you really want to come in/discuss this in greater detail, but I don't think that a meeting/this is necessary right now, because
	• I don't think a meeting would help. If you have additional documents, you can send them to me with a covering letter explaining how they relate to the central issues you have raised. If I need to, I'll call to discuss them with you. I believe this is a much better use of our time.
	• If I need more information, I'll contact you. Otherwise, the summary of issues you've provided is adequate.
	 I don't have any new information to give you about progress in dealing with your matter. I'll be in touch with you when I do.
	 There are no new developments in your matter. However, you can call me after [date] if you'd like to check in with me.
	 Can you please send me copies of these documents? I'll review them to decide if a meeting is necessary/would be useful.
	• It is generally better for us to look at the documents first, before we decide whether a meeting with you would be useful. In the end, we often have to rely on documentary evidence anyway. Say-so evidence isn't enough.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
Emotional manipulation. If you don't do [x]	 That would certainly be a difficult thing to deal with. Unfortunately I can't help you with it. Why don't we focus on what I can help you with, which is You're right. It is hard to have to worry about these things. Let me
then I'll do [y] or	explain what I think your options are
I've had such a hard	 I understand that you really want our organisation to solve this problem for you. As I've already explained to you, we can't.
time. I've just lost all my money and my wife has left me	 I understand that this issue is really important to you and that you've spent a significant amount of time trying to Unfortunately, this doesn't change the fact that
or You're my last hope.	 I can only imagine how distressing this process has been for you and I'm sorry that the outcome of your issue/matter couldn't be more positive
If you don't help me I don't know what I'll do.	 I'm aware that this problem has cost you a lot of money/caused a lot of stress for you and your family and in your position I would feel upset too. From an organisational standpoint though we aren't able to do anything to help you.
	• I agree that pursuing an issue of concern for years without a satisfactory outcome would be devastating. However, I need to be upfront in letting you know that I don't believe that our office/organisation will be able to achieve the outcome that you're looking for either.
	 I recognise that you've had a difficult time and I don't want to add to this by giving you false hope that we can help you to
	 I can't imagine how hard it would be for you to deal with that. It certainly wouldn't be easy. But for me to be able to deal with your matter as quickly and effectively as possible, I need you to focus on telling me about
	• Unfortunately, I can't respond to that. It is clearly a difficult situation to have to deal with. I can help you with if we can focus on that
	• No I'm sorry, I'm not qualified to help you with that. All I can do is
	 I apologise, but I'm not the person to speak to about you're feelings on this – though I'm sure they are valid. I can help you with your matter if you want to focus on that for a moment.
Well, I didn't really expect you to do anything anyway or I knew you wouldn't	 I'm sorry you feel that way. If you'd like, I can take a few minutes to discuss our role. I'm sorry you're disappointed with the outcome of my assessment. I've explained the reasons for my decision in my letter. You may care to read through it again.
or I'm a taxpayer you know.	 It appears in this case you're right [explain reasons for not doing anything].
	 I've considered the issue(s) you raised and made inquiries. I know my actions haven't resulted in the outcome you were hoping for.
	 We've fully assessed the issues you raised and we have not found evidence to show acted wrongly/unlawfully/corruptly.
	 When did you start thinking that we wouldn't do anything about your issue. I imagine it wouldn't have been when you brought it to our attention.

	I
Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	• I'm not sure what else you were expecting in this situation. When we spoke I explained to you that
	 It's unfortunate that you feel this way, because a lot of time was spent making inquiries into/investigating and responding to the questions/ issues you raised.
	• We've satisfied ourselves that this outcome it is the most appropriate one in the circumstances.
	• I'm sure you can appreciate that I'm a taxpayer too and pay my taxes just like you do. So how about we focus on what I can help you with
You're racist/sexist. You wouldn't treat	• Your race/gender/social status doesn't affect how I treat you/why I did that. We deal with lots of people who are
me like this if I was/ wasn't	 Your race/gender/social status doesn't affect what I think or do. We deal with people from all walks of life.
or	 Your race/gender/social status has played no part in the decision I've made.
I'm not stupid you know or	• I haven't said anything about your race/gender/social status because it simply isn't relevant to and it's unclear to me why you would raise such issues.
Your organisation	 When did you start thinking that you were being treated differently based on your race/gender/social status?
isn't interested in helping the little	 When did you start thinking that we'd allow your race/gender/social status to influence our behaviour?
guys/people like me.	I'm sorry you got that impression.
	 My own son/daughter would have received the same outcome from this organisation/agency if they had brought your issue to us.
Asking personal questions that	• I don't consider this to be relevant to the issue of What I do need information on is
cross your personal boundaries –	• I don't need information about that right now. If I do, I'll let you know. What I need you to tell me about however is
for example questions about your marital status, where you live, your kids etc.	 My organisation doesn't allow me to discuss my personal life with people whose concerns I am responsible for dealing with. So why don't we get back to
	• [Mr/Ms/name] I have a limited amount of time to talk to you/meet with you and there is specific information that I need from you before our time runs out. So tell me about [regain control of the conversation].
	• The information that you're giving me is making me uncomfortable and I don't believe that it relates in any way to the questions I'm asking you. I need you to focus on these issues/questions [restate them].
	 I'll have to end this call if we can't keep to the issues.
	• I find this information inappropriate and I've asked that you stop telling me about it, because it doesn't relate to the immediate issue that I'm dealing with. If you continue to talk to me like this, I'll end this call.
	 I provided you with the information you require and, if you have no new questions, I'll have to end the call here to deal with other people who are waiting.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	 I'll have to hang up now, because we are not getting the things we need to get done. I'll call you back in [number of minutes/hours/ days/next week]
	• I'll have to end the call here, but I'll put my questions in writing for you and send them through Australia Post. You can read them, answer them, and send them back to me either by email or in the mail.
	 I've told you that I would hang up if you continued this behaviour. Goodbye.
Wanting to talk about their complaint outside	I'm not able to comment on your file right now. You can call the office during normal business hours and I can discuss your matter with you then. I say't comment on your file without boying it in front of me.
of office hours.	 I can't comment on your file without having it in front of me.
Why wasn't I told about this before [when they were	 If you recall, we talked about this on [date] and I told you then that This has not changed and I do not see any reason to discuss it again now. If you have other concerns I suggest you put them in writing.
told]?	• I sent you a letter/email on [day/date] that explains our position on this in detail. I don't have time to discuss it again right now, but I suggest that you take time to read that letter/email again. If you still have specific questions that you want answered, I'll set aside [minutes] for you on [day/date] to discuss them. Do you need me to send you another copy of that email/letter?
	 A lot of time was spent making inquiries into/investigating and responding to the issues that you're raising with me now. I'd appreciate it if you could take the time to go over the letter/email I sent to you again. If you still have specific questions after reading it, you may call me back.
	 I don't think this conversation is productive for either of us because we keep on coming back to the same issue
	 It seems you want me to say something that I can't. I've tried to explain several times how we reached the conclusion we have. Because I don't think this conversation is productive for either of us, I'll have to end our discussion here. You can put your concerns in writing if you wish and we'll decide whether or not further action is needed by our office.
I have a right to see/access those documents	 I don't have authority to give this information to you. You can put your request in writing and the appropriate senior officer/manager will decide whether it will be given to you.
or	• I can't give you this information, because
This is urgent and can't wait until tomorrow. Give me [person's] direct/home line.	 We rarely disclose this type of information, except in extremely rare cases where and where there are clear and substantial reasons for doing so.
	 We consider requests for information on a case-by-case basis, so you'll need to put your request in writing and clearly explain why this information should be disclosed to you. We'll provide you with a response shortly after that.
	 Our usual practice is not to disclose the information you've asked for because

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	• There is an expectation by the people/organisations that interact with our office/organisation that this information won't be disclosed, except in exceptional circumstances. Your case isn't one of these exceptions.
	 You always have the option of making an application for disclosure under the [relevant access to information legislation]. You can find information about making an application on the [relevant organisation's] website.
	• I understand that you think this is an urgent matter, but I can't call at home and I can't provide you with the person's home phone number/personal contact details. What I can do is arrange for you to talk to someone else who is available right now and who might be able to respond to some of your concerns.
That's not what I'm complaining	 We agreed that the central issues you were raising were and these will be the focus of our response to you/our investigation.
about. You've got it all wrong.	 We prefer that you wait for us to complete our investigations/inquiries before raising additional issues, as things often can and do change as our investigations/inquiries progress.
	 I understand that you've several concerns that you want to raise about However, we've decided to limit our inquiries/investigation to the following issues
	 Our organisation can look at whether In our letter to you we addressed those issues. We won't be looking at because
	 By changing your issues of concern, this affects our ability to resolve them. Please give us time to complete our inquiries/investigation/etc.
	 I can't deal with your matter properly while you're changing the issues you want us to deal with/adding new issues of concern. You'll have to decide whether you want to withdraw your complaint while you figure out what you want us to look at or let us move forward with what we have and the issues we have identified to date. What's happening now simply isn't working.
	• Given the many complaints we receive, we try our best to distribute our resources as fairly as possible across all of them. To do this we focus our attention and resources on the central issues/more substantial issues raised in these complaints. In your case, we agreed that the central issues were We'll not be looking at anything else, unless there are clear reasons for doing so.
That [outcome] isn't good enough. It's not what I wanted.	 It's clear that you aren't satisfied with the outcome that we've achieved for you. Having considered the matter carefully, we are satisfied with it and have decided not to take any further action.
	 The outcome you're asking for isn't very different from the one we've already achieved for you. We won't spend more time and resources pursuing this issue.
	 To make sure that we distribute our resources fairly and evenly across all people seeking our services/raising concerns with us, we must think about whether there is a practical purpose in pursuing a different outcome in your case. Our view is that the outcome you're now seeking is not very different from what we've already achieved, and it therefore would not be practical or fair for us to spend any more time and resources on it.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	• If you recall, on [date] we discussed the types of outcomes we would be aiming for. We decided that we would try to This is what we've achieved and I don't see any practical purpose in pursuing
	 You're welcome to write to us and explain why you think this outcome is the wrong one/inappropriate/unsatisfactory. If we agree with you, we'll notify you accordingly. Otherwise we'll read and file your correspondence without acknowledgement.
They/you owe me a refund	• It seems to me that you're hoping we can do… I have to tell you right now that this will not be possible/is unlikely because
	 What you're asking for isn't possible/realistic/appropriate in the circumstances. Perhaps we can think about other possible options/ outcomes like [give example] which are more likely to happen.
	• I accept that you want to see happen. I have taken the view that this isn't an appropriate solution/isn't likely to happen because I therefore, suggest that we start thinking about other more appropriate/likely outcomes such as
	 I understand is what you'd like to see happen in this case, but we don't consider this to be an appropriate outcome because We think is more appropriate and more likely.
	• Sometimes people have a different view on the same issue. You and I clearly have a different view on As I've explained, we think that the more appropriate/more likely outcome in this situation is
	• I understand that you're quite angry about what has happened, but we can't make a decision based on your emotions alone. We can only act on the facts, which must also be supported by evidence. So the sooner we can focus on the facts and the evidence, the sooner we can resolve this issue.
	• I don't want to give you false hope by telling you that might happen when it's quite clear that it won't. I suggest that we think about as possible solutions so that you're not disappointed later on.
	• Our system for dealing with concerns raised by dissatisfied members of the public/customers/service recipients isn't designed to provide vindication/retribution/revenge. The kinds of outcomes that we can normally achieve are In your case it is possible that might happen.
	It's unlikely that you will get the compensation you're looking for.
He/she/you should be fired.	I accept that you believe should be sacked over this. We, however, view things differently.
	You are entitled to your opinion.
	No-one will be fired over this issue.

2.7. Strategies for managing an unreasonable lack of cooperation

Managing an unreasonable lack of cooperation is about 'setting conditions'. This involves requiring something of the person seeking assistance as a precondition to taking any action on their issue of concern or performing a particular service/action. For example, a person may be required to organise and summarise unreasonably disorganised and lengthy documentation as a condition to it being accepted and read.

2.7.1. Sends a constant stream of lengthy or disorganised information without clearly defining any issues of concern or explaining how they relate to their concern – where the person has previously demonstrated or should have the ability to do this

Ask the person to organise and summarise the information they have provided as a condition of accepting/addressing their matter.

Ask them to stop sending information, and advise them that if you/your organisation need further information they will be notified immediately.

Do not accept cc'd communications/emails or copies of press articles as requests for you to take any particular action, unless the person expressly indicates such an intention and clearly identifies specific issues of concern that can be appropriately dealt with by your organisation.

Advise the person that every time they send you information you have to take time to read it – taking you away from doing other important work in relation to their matter.

2.7.2. Provides little or no detail about their issue of concern or presents information in 'dribs and drabs' – includes anonymous complainants who cannot be contacted or refuse to provide any identifying information when this is required to obtain more detail

Inform the person verbally and/or in writing that you/your organisation can not take their matter forward until all relevant information has been presented.

Describe the types of information that they should provide – for example, copies of official documents, photographs, video footage or other materials that clearly show that the events or actions of concern occurred.

Identify a time frame for the person to provide the requested information, informing them that after this time no further action will be taken on the matter or no additional information will be accepted in relation to the complaint before a decision is made – if it appears the information was intentionally withheld by the complainant.

2.7.3. Provides irrelevant information, including documentation with sexually explicit content

Return correspondence that contains clearly inappropriate content and require the person to remove the inappropriate material before the correspondence will be considered – after making a copy of it for your records.

Inform the person that only certain issues they have raised, for example the central issues, will be dealt with/responded to, and restate what those issues are – so they are clear and preferably there is agreement.

2.7.4. Refuses to follow instructions or accept suggestions and advice

Provide your advice/instructions and stick to them. Do, however, acknowledge any reasons why the person may be resistant to the instruction or advice – for example, they have previously relied on such advice to their detriment.

Explain your responsibilities and theirs and your goals/intentions in pursuing their issue.

See – 3.1. Effectively managing complainants and their expectations from the outset.

Make sure to summarise instructions to ensure they are understood.

Follow up any verbal instructions or advice in writing and clearly indicate a time frame for compliance/action, if relevant.

End unproductive phone calls and interviews if the person is not receptive to instructions, advice or suggestions.

Record meeting topics and outcomes and write to the person outlining the outcomes of the meeting.

2.7.5. Argues frequently and/or with extreme intensity that a particular solution is the correct one in the face of valid contrary arguments

Clearly state that a particular outcome is not possible. If you think your message is not being received in the way you intended, consider whether a direct approach is the most appropriate style of communication with the person.

See – 3.3. Cultural communication styles.

Assert your position clearly and firmly and stick to it - but do acknowledge their viewpoint.

Avoid arguments or trying to reason with people who are unwilling to consider other logical and reasonable points of view. No amount of reasoning is likely to convince such people to calm down or to accept your point of view or decision.

Advise them of their one review option and, if they have already exercised that option, firmly advise them that the issue will not be reconsidered unless exceptional circumstances exist.

End unproductive phone calls and interviews if the person is not receptive to your explanation or point of view.

2.7.6. Displays unhelpful behaviour – withholds information, is dishonest, acts illegally, is unethical, misleading or otherwise misquotes others

Terminate your/your organisation's involvement with the person if you discover that they have purposely and significantly misled you have been untruthful about their matter.

Specifically identify the problematic behaviour and ask that they stop it if they wish to have their matter pursued further.

Restate the ground rules or 'rules of engagement' and emphasise that if they do not comply with them their matter will not be dealt with further.

See – 3.1. Effectively managing complainants and their expectations from the outset.

Record meeting topics and outcomes and write to the person outlining the outcomes of the meeting.

Refer the behaviour to the relevant authority if necessary – for example, unlawful conduct such as fraud.

2.8. Scripted responses to an unreasonable lack of cooperation

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
See attached/the attached speaks for itself.	• So to deal with your matter properly, we need you to summarise the information that you've sent and explain how it relates to your central issues of concern. As it stands, we're having difficulty understanding how they are related.
	• I've had a chance to look at the information you sent and I'm finding it difficult to see how it relates to the issues you raise. Can you please summarise this information and clearly explain how it relates to your central issues? I would need you to do this in the next [number of days/weeks] if you want us to proceed with your matter.
	• For the moment, I don't need this level of detail [explain].
	 As you can imagine we receive a lot of complaints at this organisation, so to make sure we deal with all of them fairly we ask people raising concerns to clearly identify their key issues and explain how their supporting documentation relates to these issues
	You've sent [number of emails/documents] to our office/organisation about your issue of concern. We don't need this much information right now. If we need it, I'll let you know. Until then, please stop sending this information as it is taking me away from doing other important tasks in relation to your matter.
	 I previously asked you not to send any more information/emails because it is affecting my ability to deal with your matter effectively. Again, I don't need this level of detail from you at the moment. I'd appreciate it if you would comply with this request.
I've told you everything/ given you all the	• I know you probably feel like you've talked about this enough, but could I ask you a few more questions that will help us to deal with it as quickly as possible? [Proceed by asking open-ended questions.]
documents that you asked for [when they clearly haven't].	• I understand that you're unhappy with the system, but I still need you to provide this information.
	• [Restate what they've said] sounds really important. Can we go over it in a little more detail?
	 We need you to send all the information you have that relates to your matter within [number of days/weeks]. Otherwise, we may have to close your file until we receive it from you.
	 It's essential that you send us documentation/information that relates to your matter. Otherwise, we won't be able to deal with it appropriately.
	 It's a very inefficient use of our time and resources to change the course of our inquiries/investigation/undertake further inquiries/ another investigation because you did not provide us with this information earlier/when you were asked.
	 We've asked you a number of times to send and you haven't. If we don't receive it by we won't accept it later on if you decide to send it to us. I suggest that you get it to us right away.
	• By not sending the information that we've asked for we haven't been able to We need you to send this to us right away if you want

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	Otherwise, we may have to close your matter/decide on the outcome of your matter without it.
	We can't resolve your issue of concern without I'm sure you wouldn't like to see us close your file because of this.
	You've come to us because you want us to For us to do this we need you to cooperate fully, by providing us with any information that is likely to influence how we deal with your matter and any solutions that we might suggest
It's vital to my issue/ complaint. You must	• I don't consider this to be relevant to whether I do, however, need you to tell me about
look at it.	I don't need to know about to be able to determine whether has occurred.
	• It appears to me the central issues you have raised with us are I don't believe you need to tell me about for me to deal with those issues.
	 I apologise, but I'm not the person to speak to about I can help you with To ensure that we don't waste time, why don't you tell me about that?
	• I don't want to take up time by talking about Perhaps we can get back to discussing
	 I find this information to be inappropriate and irrelevant to I'll have to end our call if you continue to raise it with me.
	 I'll have to end this call if we can't keep to the issues of
	 I understand that you want to share all the details of what has happened with me. However, I don't need that level of detail because I can't help you with How about you tell me about?
	 If I need to know about it I'll let you know, but for the moment let's focus on
	• Can I ask why you're bringing this to my attention? [let them respond] As I've tried to explain to you, my role is to [explain]. Unfortunately, the information that you're sharing is not anything we can use at this organisation/our organisation can do anything about.
	 You've already been informed that our office/organisation doesn't consider it appropriate for you to talk to us/me about [explain]. I have nothing else to add to this issue.
	 I'll have to hang up now, because we aren't getting done the things we need to get done. I'll call you back in [number of hours/days] when I'll have more time to discuss them further/we can have a more focused discussion.
	 I'll send you an email/letter with my questions later this afternoon and you can respond in writing and send them back to me
	• I told you that I would hang up if you continued to discuss Goodbye.
Who the hell makes these?	• I know you disagree with the policy. If you want to have your say about this, the best thing to do is contact [organisation/person]. They are involved in setting the policies. [If the information is available to you] Would you like me to give you their name and number?
	continued

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	 Alternative responses to 'that's our policy' or 'it's a matter of policy' are:
	 Let me explain how we usually do things/why we do things this way
	We ask/expect that
	Our usual practice is
I can't/won't do that.	• I feel I've explained your options to you as best as I can. You might want to choose a different path and that is absolutely your decision.
	 It's my role to explain your options to you, but any decision on what you do is clearly yours.
	 Perhaps you'd like to think about what I've just explained to you. We can discuss it again next week if you need me to clarify anything further.
	 So, let me recap. I'm going to do and you're going to do Is that how you understand it?
	• I understand that you're unhappy with the system, but I still need you to do
	This is really the only advice I can give you. You'll have to decide from here what you want to do next.
You're wrong/ I disagree.	 I acknowledge that you view things differently. However, on the information I have, I've formed the view that
	• I acknowledge that your view is, but we see it differently.
	• I feel that I've given you as much information as I can about this. It seems you want me to say something that I can't. Because I have other serious matters to tend to, I'll have to end the phone call here. You can write to our organisation if you have new and substantial issues that you want to raise.
	• I don't think this conversation is productive for either of us now and I'll have to end it here. If you have any further concerns, you can put them in writing and we'll assess them and decide whether or not they warrant any action by our organisation.
	 I've given you all the information you need, and if you have no new questions I'll end the call to deal with other people who are waiting.
	• I understand that you're dissatisfied with what I've told you. I've tried to explain to you how I/we came to this conclusion and can't spend any more time explaining it to you. If you wish, you can put your concerns in writing.
	• I've explained how and why I've made the decision that I have. Unfortunately, there is nothing else I can add to this. Unless you have some other issues that you would like to raise with me, I'll have to end this conversation/interview here.
	 Sometimes people have a different view on the same thing. You and I clearly have different views and as I've explained our organisation won't be taking any further action on your matter.

2.9. Strategies for managing unreasonable arguments

The principle underlying the strategies and script ideas for managing unreasonable arguments is about 'declining or discontinuing' involvement with a matter. This involves politely refusing to do something or stopping doing something for a person who has raised a concern or is asking for an action to be taken or a decision made. As soon as it becomes apparent that a concern is groundless or a request is unreasonable, you should decline or discontinue service. If unreasonable arguments are mixed with reasonable arguments, the strategy should be to refuse to deal with the unreasonable portion.

Unreasonable arguments are sometimes associated with mental illness. Dealing with people with a mental illness requires extra sensitivity, although their conduct can generally be dealt with in the same way as anyone else.

When dealing with people with mental illness, it is important not to immediately dismiss an issue as being delusional. A delusion (or psychosis) does not preclude a legitimate issue of concern. Staff who receive expressions of concern that they suspect to be delusional should take time to ask specific questions about any evidence they have to support their concern/claim. At the same time, extra care should be taken not to fuel or encourage people who are clearly delusional or have concerns that have no legitimate basis, as this is likely to give the person false hope about what you can do for them.

See – 3.4. Guidance on disability and mental illness.

For information on mental health services in your area, please contact or refer the person to the following:

- Beyond Blue: 1300 22 4636 (www.beyondblue.org.au)
- Lifeline: 13 11 14 (www.lifeline.org.au)
- WayAhead (Mental Health Association NSW): 1300 794 991 (www.wayahead.org.au)

In emergency situations, contact your local mental health team or community health centre.

2.9.1. Insists on the importance of an issue that is clearly unimportant or trivial

Do not take up/continue with issues that there is no practical purpose in pursuing.

Explain that issues are not taken up unless they are supported by evidence and are sufficiently serious. For example, the issue of concern should:

- raise a substantial new issue
- be supported by clear evidence that suggests that the event/issue happened.

Explain that clear evidence could include:

- · copies of official documents
- photographs
- · video footage
- other material that shows or tends to show that what they are complaining about occurred.

Tell them firmly and confidently that it is the organisation and not them who must decide on the importance of an issue – that is the resources it will dedicate to it, etc.

In giving a person such a message, it is never a good idea to use words such as 'unimportant', 'trivial', 'frivolous', 'vexatious' or 'not in good faith' as the person will be insulted/feel disrespected, which will only inflame the situation.

Advise that any further correspondence about the particular issue is likely to be read and filed without acknowledgement, unless it meets the threshold above.

Note: You should be careful not to disregard new issues that are substantially different from the original issue and that do warrant further action.

2.9.2. Invents allegations from the smallest piece of unsupported information or sees cause and effect links where there are clearly none

Avoid being drawn into hypothesising, catastrophising, conspiracy theories, unproductive arguments and personal attacks.

Acknowledge the person's point of view, but advise that you have reached a different but equally valid viewpoint and are sticking to it.

Make firm and final statements so that there is no more room for continued arguments or 'ammunition' for the person to raise more issues and prolong the discussion unnecessarily.

Make sure your responses are brief, yet polite.

Ask that they provide clear evidence to support any claims or allegations – otherwise they will not be considered.

Describe the type of evidence that your organisation will accept and consider – try to identify things that relate to their particular issue.

Be upfront and honest from the outset and do not say or do anything that will give them false hope about whether their issue will be taken up or their likelihood for success.

After you close the matter, do not respond to further communications about that issue – unless it raises a substantial new issue or evidence or provides new information that warrants further action.

2.9.3. Raises bizarre or incomprehensible issues

Speak to the person in the same tone as you would to anyone else and treat them with respect.

Listen carefully to what they are saying and avoid arguments.

Ask questions and check for evidence. Sometimes a person may be delusional, but may still have a legitimate issue of concern. The ability to provide evidence or point to factual information will be the key. You might say:

- To take this further, we would need clear evidence like photos, documents or medical certificates
- Sometimes people think something wrong has happened, but there isn't any evidence. I can only suggest that if you do get some evidence you send it to me.
- You're explaining your concerns well, but without any clear evidence I can't follow this matter up.

Reflect back to them what they are saying without agreeing:

• So you say aliens are following you.

Acknowledge emotions, both theirs and yours.

• I'm feeling frustrated listening to you, so I can only imagine how frustrated you must be feeling about this.

Empathise with both their lows and highs.

• I can see you're feeling really bad about this/you're feeling really happy about this.

If it appears that your organisation can assist them, explain what can and cannot be done to help them – without fuelling their arguments.

If it appears unlikely that your organisation can take up the issue, see whether the person may be able to come up with a solution of their own.

 Is there any other way you may be able to achieve this/make sure this doesn't happen again ...?

2.9.4. Interprets facts or law in ways that are clearly irrational or unreasonable and insists their interpretation is the correct one

Acknowledge their point of view, but clearly state your own and stick to it.

If reasoning with the person does not work, refer them to another forum where they can raise their issues – such as the courts if it is a matter of legal interpretation or a Minister or MP if it is a policy or political issue, if appropriate.

2.9.5. Makes false or unsubstantiated accusations of biased, unethical, illegal, inconsistent, or partial decision-making when things do not go their way

Advise them that you/your organisation can only consider clear and verifiable evidence when making decisions on a claim or issue.

Tell them clearly, firmly and transparently that complaints about you/your colleagues will not lead to a change in the decision that has been made or the outcome reached in their matter, unless there are clear and substantial grounds for it.

Keep records of all contacts and communications with the person for future reference, including conversations where they argue bias.

Some people may demonstrate an inability to accept personal responsibility – and instead blame others (such as the case officer/organisation) for things that they clearly bear no responsibility for. Never accept responsibility for things that you/your organisation are not responsible for.

Do not get caught up in conversations about what other people/organisations have done, unless this is the subject of a matter that is within your/your organisation's jurisdiction.

Avoid asking questions that are focused on the past – keep it in the present and talk about the task at hand and things that need to be accomplished in the future.

2.10. Scripted responses to unreasonable arguments

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
This is a very serious issue. So you think my issue/ complaint isn't important enough?	 It may well seem that way followed by an appropriate explanation. It's not about your issue being unimportant. It's a question of whether our office/organisation can achieve a substantial outcome in this situation/whether your matter is one that our office/organisation can help you with. From our perspective, we can't/it isn't. Unfortunately, we don't share your view that this issue needs to be investigated/taken further by our office/organisation. All issues of concern/complaints are carefully assessed according to our policies and procedures. Sometimes we receive complaints we can't/don't have the powers to take up. It's clear that this issue is important to you. However, we do have certain requirements that must be addressed before an issue/complaint can be taken on by our office/organisation. These include that the issues raised are Unfortunately, your matter doesn't meet this threshold. We've considered the information relating to your issue and we don't believe that there is a practical purpose in pursuing it further. As we've explained, we don't think that there are clear reasons for us to take action on this issue. Perhaps you should consider raising it in another more appropriate forum. When did you start thinking that we weren't/I wasn't taking you seriously?
	you seriously?When did you start thinking that we aren't concerned about your situation?
Why are you discouraging me from pursuing my issue/complaint?	 My intention isn't to discourage you. I'm trying to be as realistic as possible with you now so that you are not disappointed later on It's unfortunate that you feel that way. I simply don't want to see you spending even more time pursuing this when there may not be any organisation that can help you with this issue. It would be very easy for me to pass you on to another organisation/person and let you think that they can help you, even though they probably can't. But I don't want to give you false hope. The reality of the situation is that our office/organisation is unable to help you with your issue/complaint because I'm not discouraging you from taking your issue/complaint elsewhere if you think another organisation can help you.
They're corrupt.	 People often feel that a certain person/organisation has caused a problem for them. We need clear evidence to support what you're saying before we can follow it up. Examples of clear evidence would include I'd really like to help you, because it's clear that your issue/complaint is important to you. But for me to be able to do this I need you to provide us with solid evidence that supports what you're saying – for example Until we get this type of evidence we won't be able to move ahead with your issue/complaint.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	 I accept that your opinion is that We have a different view. We can't do what you're asking because
	 I can see that you think this is the worst thing that could happen. Perhaps we could have a closer look at how it is
	• Sometimes people have a different view on the same situation/issue. You and I clearly have a different view on
	• I accept that is your view. I've taken a different view. My view is For these reasons I won't be taking any further action on your issue/ complaint/or will take the following action
	• I understand that is your view. However, on assessing the information that has been submitted to this organisation, our view is that
	 Your view is Is there any possibility that there could be another/ different view?
The police are	 Is it possible there might be an innocent explanation for?
listening to my thoughts/recording me/following me.	 You must be worried about being followed/recorded by the police. I can't help you with that, but if you can tell me about then I can help you with that.
	 I can't do anything about an event that hasn't yet happened.
	 Some of the things you're asking about are hypothetical. I can only respond in detail to an actual event.
	• If happens in the future, you can ring me then.
	 I know you will understand that we can't act on an allegation without evidence.
	 I appreciate that you've put a lot of thought into this issue and you have a lot to say about it. However, discussing [irrelevant issue] won't help us to focus on those things that our organisation can deal with which are
	 I'd really like to help you, but what's lacking in your allegation is the evidence to support what you're saying. Without it I won't be able to follow up your claim.
	 Ask a series of questions – What would make the situation better? What are you hoping to achieve by contacting us? What did you hope we could do for you? [and then manage expectations].
The legislation says that your office must /I'm	• I appreciate that you have a certain opinion about how the legislation/document is to be interpreted, but our office/organisation takes the position that it should be applied like this.
entitled to	 It's clear that we have different views about how this policy/ legislation should be interpreted and applied. We've given you clear reasons to explain our position and there is nothing else that we can add to it.
	• Sometimes people have a different view on the same situation/issue. You and I clearly have a different view on
	• I accept that is your view. I've taken a different view. My view is For these reasons I won't be taking any further action on your matter/will take the following action continued

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	• I understand that is your view. However, on assessing the information that has been submitted to this office, our view is that
	 Your view is Is there any possibility that there could be another/ different view?
You/your	Do you have evidence to support this allegation?
organisation/they are biased/corrupt	 [Organisation/person] has made a professional judgement and we have seen documentation explaining the reasons for their decision.
	 I understand you're annoyed/sceptical/angry about The evidence we've gathered suggests the conduct is not unreasonable/may be unreasonable warranting action on our part.
	 I need to give [organisation/person] a chance to explain their side of the story. If I'm not satisfied, I'll take it further.
	 Simply because you disagree with my/our/their decision doesn't necessarily mean that we've been biased towards you. Do you have evidence to support your allegation?
	 I understand that you think that there has been bias in this situation. I've made my own assessment of these claims and, after looking at your concerns and checking the information that has been provided to me, I don't consider that there has been bias.
	 You may believe this to be the case, but we are satisfied that
	• I appreciate that this is your view. The evidence in this case suggests
	 We rely on good documentary evidence to make our decisions. Any biases, misconduct, shortcomings or other discrepancies usually become apparent during our inquiries and reviews of documents. So far, we haven't found any evidence to support that has occurred in this situation.
	 Often there can be many good reasons why a person/organisation doesn't disclose the type of information that you've requested – other than bias like you suggest. There may be confidentiality or privacy issues that they are required by legislation to observe.
You're taking their word for it or You're colluding with them.	 No, that's not correct. I have sought documentation reports/files notes/correspondence to assess the decision-making process and reasons for the organisation's/staff member's conclusions.
	 It seems you think that, because I haven't agreed with your allegation/claim, I'm simply accepting their word. In fact, my job is to hear and consider both sides of a story and then to decide whether there is sufficient evidence that something has gone wrong.
	• [Where relevant] I'm independent of both parties and I'm not here to take sides.
	 My role is to consider allegations/complaints impartially and not to advocate for either side.
	 That's not the case. I have looked at the documentation and I can't see any evidence to contradict our position.
	• I've asked them to explain the situation and I'm satisfied with their explanation.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
	 You may think that. I have to make my own assessment of the matter. After looking at your concerns/checking out the relevant policies/ seeking information from the [organisation/person] I consider there is nothing for us to take up.
	The fact that you disagree with their decision doesn't mean they have been unreasonable.
	What do you base this claim on?
	• I understand you're disappointed with my decision/view and I must say I'm sorry you see it this way. My role is to be impartial. Based on the evidence available to me, I can't see that the [organisation/person] has acted wrongly.
Why won't you do it	Each case is different. Perhaps we can get back to your situation.
for me? You did it for my friend/someone I know.	 I'm not sure how your friend's situation applies here. Let me explain how we came to our conclusions about your situation.
I thought your	 You're right. We are very interested in what is fair and reasonable.
organisation was interested in fairness.	We have carefully looked at your matter and we have decided that there does not appear to have been any unfairness in your case.
They're lying to you/ manipulating you/ pulling the wool over	 You may believe this. However, I'm satisfied with their response. Unless you can give me evidence to prove that they've deliberately misled or misinformed me, my decision stands.
your eyes and you can't see through them.	 I'm very aware of the way responses are made to me. I can assure you that I get copies of reports and documents to substantiate what I'm being told.
	• I appreciate that is your view. However, the evidence in this case is
	 So far I have no reason to believe this. I certainly welcome any evidence you can give me that supports your assertion.
	 I have considered your evidence as well as the evidence provided to us by the organisation/their staff and I can't agree with your assertion, though I do acknowledge that this is your view.
They think they can get away with anything	 They are required to abide by the law/policy/procedure that is relevant to them. They have had to explain their actions to us. I consider that they have reasonably explained their conduct/decision.
or So the law doesn't apply to them/they're above the law?	 Well no, they're not. The issue here is about an issue you have brought to our organisation. Our role is to see whether there may be any evidence that something went wrong. Having looked into/at your matter, I have formed the opinion that there isn't any evidence to demonstrate that.
	 The organisation has to conduct their business and has legitimate authority to make their decisions. We haven't found evidence that they are acting unreasonably in doing this.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses	
It's all your/their fault. How could you let	• I can't take responsibility for what has happened in the past. However, I would like to help you with Can you tell me about?	
this happen?	 I understand that your experience with was/has been difficult for you and that you're still quite upset. However, for me to be able to help you with your current complaint, we need to focus on 	
	 I'm sure that you can understand that I'm not to blame for So why don't we focus on what I can help you with now 	
	 I recognise that you believe is responsible for But perhaps there is another explanation 	
	 Is it possible that there might be some other reason why has occurred? 	
	 For me to do, you will need to do otherwise, we'll have to close your file. 	
	• I'm sorry you've had trouble, but I can help you with [explain].	
Resistant to explanation	Some people are resistant to explanation and unwilling to consider views other than their own. To determine if a person will be receptive to your explanations/point of view, you may ask the following types of questions as they may give you an indication of whether it will be productive to continue your discussion with them:	
	 Your view is Is there any possibility that there could be another/ different view? 	
	You say is the case. Is this necessarily so?	
	 You seem to be saying is the case. How is this true? 	
	 [To manifestly illogical conspiracy allegations] Is it possible there might be an innocent explanation for? 	

2.11. Strategies for managing unreasonable behaviours

The principles underlying the strategies and script ideas for managing unreasonable behaviours are about 'setting limits' and 'setting conditions'. Setting conditions is about requiring a person to do something as a precondition to taking any action on their issue of concern or performing a particular service/action. Setting limits is about establishing clear boundaries and placing limits on interactions or services, if necessary. When setting limits, you should:

- identify the behaviour you consider to be unreasonable
- ask the person to change that behaviour
- state the consequences if the behaviour continues (identify the limits)
- · offer the person a choice, if possible
- enforce the limits, if necessary.

For example:

I cannot continue with this interview if you are going to continue to bang the table. Please stop – otherwise I will end the interview. Would you like to continue or would you like to do this some other time? It's your choice.

Important caveat

The suggested strategies that follow must always be balanced against your organisation's security protocols and procedures. If any of the suggestions conflict with those protocols and procedures, the latter should always take priority, unless you are otherwise instructed by a supervisor or senior manager.

See – 2.16. Ten steps for responding to threats, hostility and aggression.

The NSW Ombudsman also has <u>Model Guidelines for Managing and Responding to Threats,</u>
<u>Aggressive Behaviour and Violence – available at www.ombo.nsw.gov.au.</u>

2.11.1. Foul language and swearing that is part of their normal communication style or an expression of distress, but still makes you feel uncomfortable – low risk

Attempt to calm the person down and to stay calm yourself. You may find that asking the person to calm down may well be ineffective or counterproductive. A better approach may be to display empathy for their distress.

Tell the person that you are uncomfortable with their choice of words and politely ask that they change them.

If it continues, ask the person to stop again and warn that your organisation's policy is that you can end the call if the inappropriate language continues.

If it continues, end the call and make a note of the conversation.

You may also wish to invite the person to call back when they are prepared to use more appropriate language. Avoid saying – we need time out here so we can calm down – as this is likely to escalate the situation.

If you do tell the person to call back, make sure to give them clear instructions about who they can contact, when, how (method of communication) etc.

Consult with your supervisor/senior manager as to whether:

- to take further calls from the person and, if so, who should take them
- further calls should be automatically put through to voicemail (if this is possible)
- to inform reception about what they can do with any further calls.

Note: Whether or not this behaviour is unreasonable will depend on the circumstances of the case.

2.11.2. Acts up in the presence of others/at public functions to create a scene – low risk

Attempt to calm the person down and to stay calm yourself. You may find that asking the person to calm down may well be ineffective or counterproductive. A better approach may be to display empathy for their distress.

See - 3.3. Cultural communication styles.

As soon as possible, take the person to another room or remove others from the immediate area where the person is located.

Do not discuss any issues relating to their matter or any sensitive issues in front of others, no matter how much they try to taunt you.

If the person calms down, get them to agree to go to another room and get a colleague to assist you with the interview.

If a colleague is not available, leave the door open and sit closest to the exit so you can make a swift and easy escape if an incident arises. This ensures that staff in the immediate vicinity can be quickly alerted to what is happening.

If the person rejects your efforts to calm them down and the situation persists, tell them that security may be contacted if they cannot calm down. Give them time to cool off, but follow through as appropriate.

2.11.3. Makes confronting comments or low level threats in written communications – low risk

Make a copy of the communication, file it and then return it to the sender – advising that it will not be considered until the inappropriate content is removed.

Notify your relevant supervisor or senior manager immediately if any actual or suggested threats have been made in the communication so a decision can be made about the appropriate course of action.

If the person continues to send communications with inappropriate content – particularly by email – consider talking to your supervisor or senior manager about restricting the person's access to your direct email and, if necessary, only allowing contact to be made through your organisation's general email portal.

2.11.4. Goes online and vilifies, defames, harasses, intimidates or threatens – low risk

You should not respond to personalised or negative online comments. Make a copy and take it to your designated communications officer/manager who can decide on the appropriate course of action.

Warn the person that this type of conduct will not be tolerated and action may be taken to restrict their contact with the organisation.

If you think the comment may give rise to criminal or civil liability, you should immediately consult your relevant supervisors/senior manager so they can decide whether legal action will be taken to address the situation.

2.11.5. Audio or video recording interviews or telephone conversations without prior consent – low risk

If you suspect that the person is recording the conversation or interview, expressly ask if they are doing so. If your organisation's policy on this issue is that you can or are required to refuse consent to being recorded, clearly and firmly tell them that you do not consent to being recorded.

If the person says they are not recording but you still suspect they are, you may inform the person that:

- (where applicable) they are required by law to warn any and all other parties to the discussion/interview of the recording and obtain prior consent from each – otherwise they may be committing a criminal offence
- they may also be violating confidentiality and privacy laws and (where applicable) your organisation will take legal action against them if necessary.

Depending on your organisation's policy on the issue, you may also be authorised in these circumstances to terminate a call immediately. It may then be appropriate for you to discuss with your supervisor/senior manager changing how you communicate with the complainant in the future – for example, contact in writing only.

Note: You will need to seek appropriate direction on the laws in your jurisdiction and your organisation's policy on the issue to determine the most appropriate approach for dealing with such situations.

2.11.6. Refuses to leave the premises or move when asked – low risk

Politely ask the person to leave and then move towards the exit.

If the person follows you, walk them out to ensure that they have left the premises/building.

If the person does not leave, you should leave the room or area where they are located as soon as possible.

Immediately inform other relevant staff/supervisors that the person refuses to leave and make sure that no one approaches the area where the person is located unnecessarily.

Tell the person that you will give them 10 minutes to leave, after which time security or the police will be contacted and they will be escorted from the building.

Allow the time to pass and contact security as advised if they are still there.

If security is not available and you need to contact the police, at the end of the 10 minutes tell the person that the 10 minutes has passed and that the police are in the process of being contacted – this will give the person a final opportunity to leave before you follow through with that action.

Allow security/police to address the issue.

Make a detailed record of the incident, including:

- the timing and wording of all instructions/requests that you (and others) made to the person to leave the premises
- the reasons why the person was directed to leave
- the person's responses to each request/instruction.

See - 4.2. Recording and reporting incidents.

With a supervisor/senior manager, you may also consider whether the person's access to your organisation's premises should be restricted – for example, by notification under the *Inclosed Lands Protection Act 1901* (NSW) or equivalent trespass legislation in your jurisdiction.

See – 5.2. Using legal mechanisms to restrict access.

2.11.7. Makes aggressive, abusive, harassing or otherwise confronting phone calls – medium risk

Try to calm the person down and to stay calm yourself. You may find that asking the person to calm down may well be ineffective or counterproductive. A better approach may be to display empathy for their distress.

See – 3.3. Cultural communication styles.

If the person does not calm down, explain that you consider their language/aggressive behaviour to be unacceptable and ask them to stop.

If it continues, warn the person that your organisation's policy is that you must end the call. You may mute the phone and seek assistance, if necessary – but do not hang up.

If it continues, tell the person that the call is being terminated and follow through, unless the call should be traced – in this case you should mute your phone, but do not hang up.

Report the incident to reception/inquiries staff immediately in case the complainant rings back.

Consult with your supervisor/senior manager as to whether:

- to take further calls from the person and, if so, who should take them
- further calls should be automatically put through to voicemail, if possible
- to inform reception about what they can do with any further calls.

Make a file/case note of the discussion, fill out a security incident form and direct it to the appropriate supervisor/senior manager.

Seek support either through formal or informal debriefing.

See - 4.4. Managing stress.

Note: If the abusive person has been previously told only to contact the organisation in writing, you should immediately remind them of this and terminate the call.

2.11.8. Makes targeted threats towards the case officer, obvious or implied, during phone calls – medium risk

Make the threat overt by naming it. Repeat the person's statements as close to verbatim as possible so they either take ownership of their threats/comments or retract them.

Take comprehensive notes of everything that is being said.

Tell the person the consequences of making such a threat – for example, where appropriate, that your organisation's policy is that the police must be notified.

If the threats continue, ask the person to stop and clearly restate what the consequences will be if they do not – for example, where appropriate, that your organisation's policy requires you to terminate the call and contact the police or mental health crisis team.

Attempt to redirect the conversation onto the person's substantive issues of concern, being careful not to reward their behaviour.

If it continues, tell the person that the call is being terminated and follow through, unless the call should be traced – in this case you should mute your phone without hanging up.

Report the incident to the receptionist/inquiries staff in case the person rings back.

Immediately inform your supervisor/senior manager of the call and seek advice about any further action. For example, disclosing information about the call to police or mental health professionals – if this will lessen or prevent harm to the person, yourself or third parties.

Make a file/case note of the discussion, fill out a security incident form and direct it to the appropriate supervisor/senior manager.

Seek support either through formal or informal debriefing.

See – 4.4. Managing stress.

2.11.9. Attends the premises while under the influence of drugs, alcohol or other substances – medium risk

Drugs and alcohol can increase aggression and reduce impulse control. Aggression by people under the influence of drugs or alcohol can therefore be very unpredictable and they are more likely to be easily provoked or frustrated.

When dealing with people in such circumstances you should:

- Try to stay calm.
- · Be assertive and firm.
- Use non-threatening words and tone avoid using words like 'drunk' in their presence.
- Not argue arguing is usually pointless when a person is intoxicated or under the influence of drugs, and could lead to physical violence.
- Ignore abusive words.
- Keep your distance and avoid physical contact with the person, if possible.
- Show concern for the person's safety and comfort you might offer a cup of water or food.
- · Repeat important statements clearly.

- Get help when you need it, including calling a colleague, security or police as appropriate.
- Look for a workable compromise, if possible for example, if the person is insisting on meeting with you immediately suggest that you will meet with them in a day or two when you have more time to discuss their concerns.

If you make arrangements to meet with the person another time and you are apprehensive about the meeting, you should consider talking to your supervisor/senior manager about having another staff member or security or a police officer present.

Note: Depending on the circumstances, one of more of the other suggested approaches in this section may be applicable.

2.11.10. Displays aggressive, abusive, harassing or otherwise confronting behaviour face-to-face – high risk

You do not have to tolerate aggressive behaviour. If you feel threatened or distressed, end the interview immediately.

If you believe you are in imminent danger:

- Immediately press your portable duress alarm or the closest alarm.
- · Leave the interview room or immediate area and go into a more secure area of the office.
- If leaving is not possible, you may need to defend yourself by using 'reasonable force'
 that is, the amount of force necessary to stop an attack or prevent personal injury nothing more.

Ask a more senior officer for support.

Make a file/case note of the discussion, including a description of any threatening behaviour, and fill out a security incident form and direct it to the appropriate supervisor/senior manager.

Seek support either through formal or informal debriefing.

See - 4.4. Managing stress.

In all other circumstances you should:

- Continually assess the possibility of the situation becoming violent is the person's conduct improving or getting worse?
- Ask a colleague or relevant supervisor for support.
- Keep a safe distance and preferably ask the person to sit down.
- Create space if there are any signs of physical aggression get something like a desk between you and the person.
- Provide alternatives to the aggression by making it clear to the person that aggression will not achieve their goal and that the interview will be terminated if it continues.
- Maintain non-confrontational verbal and non-verbal communication, avoiding any 'jargon' and/or language that is going to confuse the person and increase their frustration.

Be careful not to get into a fight and do not attempt to physically restrain the person or physically intervene between other people who are behaving aggressively towards each other – do not try to be a hero.

If the person's behaviour continues, end the interview. It is better to withdraw earlier rather than later. You may offer to hold the interview another time or suggest an alternative form of communication.

Make a file/case note of the discussion, including a description of the inappropriate behaviour, and fill out a security incident form and direct it to the appropriate supervisor/senior manager.

Seek support either through formal or informal debriefing.

2.11.11. Makes threats of suicide or self-harm (obvious or implied) - high risk

Threats of self-harm or suicide can be very difficult things to deal with. If you do not feel that you are competent or emotionally capable of dealing with such calls or contact, you should transfer them to or involve another staff member who can. We advocate that you always react to such calls and contact and make explicit any threats of self-harm by naming them. If the person confirms the threat, then attempt to keep the person on the phone for as long as possible to get as many details as you can about what they intend to do. Report the threat immediately to your supervisor/senior manager and complete an incident form.

In accordance with the ASIST model developed by Livingworks, you should:

- Notice invitations recognise when the person may be thinking about suicide. Listen for statements like:
 - I can't take it anymore or I can't cope.
 - What's the point?
 - I'm going to off myself.
 - I'm sitting in my car and I just don't know what to do anymore.
- Explore invitations respond in ways that clarify and address the suicide risk. Ask:
 - Do you have thoughts of suicide/are you thinking of killing yourself?
 - It sounds like you're very upset. If yes, I'm concerned and do take this seriously.

Note: You can't put ideas into their heads by asking. However, you should avoid responding in ways that might be perceived as being authoritative or unsympathetic. You should also try to calm the person down and to stay calm yourself.

- Listen try to understand why the caller wants to commit suicide the reasons for dying, the reasons for living. There is usually some hesitation once the caller starts speaking about it.
- Review the risk factors and take comprehensive notes:
 - How? When? Where are you?
 - Is there anyone else with you?
 - How are you going to do it?
- Disable their plan this might involve telling the caller that a Mental Health Team will be asked to go and check up on them.

- Make a safe plan get a commitment from the caller for example, that they will make an appointment with their GP right away etc.
- Remain on the phone and seek assistance while on the phone alert those around you/your supervisor about the person's threats so that they can take steps to obtain appropriate assistance for the caller – for example, getting a local Mental Health Team to go to their location. Try to keep the caller on the phone until they arrive. Do not hang up.

If the caller does hang up the call, you should not also hang up. The caller's location may be capable of being traced so the police or other appropriate response service can be sent to that location to help. Also, immediately discuss the situation with a supervisor to decide on the appropriate course of action in the circumstances.

Note: This brief summary does not constitute training. All staff should be appropriately trained on how to deal with threats of self-harm and harm to others – for example, see www.livingworks.com.au.

2.11.12. Aggressive, abusive, harassing or otherwise confronting behaviour during home visits – high risk

If you are subjected to harassment or aggression in a person's home, you should leave immediately.

If you are physically attacked – if you have one, press your duress alarm immediately if possible. You are also entitled to use such force as is reasonable to defend yourself.

Contact police immediately on leaving the premises and follow appropriate security procedures.

Otherwise make sure that at all times you:4

- Respect the person's wishes for example, in terms of taking off shoes before entering the home etc.
- Continually assess your surroundings while conducting the interview.
- Observe the exits in the house and stay close to exits in rooms.
- Do not allow yourself to be blocked or locked in.
- Sit in a position that allows easy access to the front door.
- Interview the person in the closest suitable room to the front door.
- Avoid the kitchen (potential weapons) and bedrooms (personal space, firearms).
- Scan the environment for dangerous items or drug paraphernalia.
- Face the person at all times during the interview.
- Try to monitor what the person is doing at all times.
- · Stay alert to anything out of the ordinary.
- Monitor the presence of threatening pets and request they be secured in another area of the premises if you feel unsafe.

^{4.} Office for Children Department of Human Services, Staff Safety in the Workplace: Guidelines for the prevention and management of occupational violence for Victorian Child Protection and community-based Juvenile Justice staff, June 2015, http://www.cpmanual.vic.gov.au/sites/default/files/Safety%20in%20the%20workplace%20-%20 CP-and-YJ_staff_safety%204201.pdf Accessed May 2021

2.11.13. Makes threats to damage property, including bomb threats, obvious or implied – extreme risk

Make the threat overt by naming it. Repeat the person's statements as close to verbatim as possible so they either take ownership of the threats/comments or retract them.

Take comprehensive notes about the threatened action and let the person finish their sentences without interruption.

Try to keep them talking and obtain as much information as possible, including:

- when the bomb will explode/action will take place
- what the bomb looks like
- where the bomb is located/where it will happen
- · what kind of bomb it is/what they are going to do
- · why the bomb was placed there/why they want to do it
- · who their intended target is, if any
- the person's personal details (their name, where they are located etc).

Note the exact time of the call and its duration.

Do not hang up the phone if the person terminates the call.

Immediately report the threat to a relevant supervisor or security officer.

If the bomb threat is believed to be genuine, the supervisor should:

- Inform a designated senior manager or security officer, any security committee and the head of building security so appropriate action can be taken – for example, contacting the police or an evacuation.
- Monitor the staff member involved, especially if support options like debriefing or counselling have not been used.
- Liaise with the relevant senior manager/security officer who is responsible for making decisions about support services to determine whether counselling and/or an operational debrief is needed.
- Make sure the staff member has completed a bomb threat checklist within 24 hours of receiving the phone call, if possible.

2.11.14. Makes threats with a weapon face-to-face – extreme risk

Avoid doing anything that might incite the person – do not try to be a hero.

Remain calm and assess the situation.

Activate the duress alarm or call for help, if it is safe to do so.

Obey the person's instructions, but only do what you are told and nothing more – do not volunteer any information.

Move slowly and avoid eye contact.

Advise the person of any movements you may have to make which could appear sudden or unexpected, such as opening a drawer.

Do not invade their personal space and keep your hands in view.

If required, contact a first aid officer to provide first aid to staff and/or others as soon as it is safe for them to do so.

Once the threat is over, fill out a security incident form and direct it to the appropriate supervisor/senior manager.

Seek support either through formal or informal debriefing.

See - 4.4. Managing stress.

Senior staff responding to the duress alarm should try to isolate the incident by evacuating the area and preventing others from entering it – for example, stand by the lifts or ask building management to close off the lifts to the floor.

The most senior staff member present or the office security adviser should:

- · override the duress alarm, if it has been activated
- ring 000 for urgent assistance or check that the police have been called
- ensure communication is maintained with the aggressor until the police arrive if it is safe to do so.

Liaise with the relevant senior manager/security officer who is responsible for making decisions about support services to determine whether counselling and/or an operational debrief is needed.

2.11.15. Stalking - online or in person - extreme risk

Stalking includes a person for no justifiable reason:

- · following, telephoning, sending messages or otherwise contacting you or another person
- giving you offensive material or leaving it where you will find it
- · walking or frequently being in your vicinity
- approaching your home, place of work or any place that you frequent.

If you suspect you are being stalked, you should immediately report it to your supervisor or relevant senior manager. Together you can discuss the possibility and appropriateness of:

- being escorted home
- contacting police
- obtaining a legal order such as an apprehended violence order or an order for trespass.

2.12. Scripted responses to unreasonable behaviours

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
F#\$%! F#\$%@*&! F#\$%! S@*&! – that is part of their normal communication style or a consequence of being distressed	 I want to hear your side of the story. Please stick to the facts to help me understand what happened. I can hear/see that you're upset/angry. However, I'm uncomfortable with you swearing. Please stop swearing. [Mr/Ms/name] I'll try to do what I can to resolve this situation, but can you please avoid using swear words. They are distracting us from I'll have to end this conversation if you don't stop using swear words. I've asked you at least [number of times] to stop using swear words. I'm going to end the call here and may have another officer call you back.
Are you people stupid or something?	I'm willing to help you with, provided that you stop If it continues I'm going to end this conversation. It's up to you, but I need your agreement now before we continue.
Shove it up your a***.	 I'm happy to talk to you about this issue, but not while you're yelling at me/but I won't accept you calling me
	 I hear that you're upset/angry, which is making it difficult for us to focus on the task at hand. Perhaps I should call you back in [X] minutes. [make sure to call them back as promised].
	• It's quite difficult for us to focus on the issues that we need to when you are [name behaviour]. I'm happy to continue the conversation with you, but you will have to stop [name behaviour]. Otherwise, I'll have to hang up and call you back in five minutes.
	 I understand that your matter is important to you and that you're disappointed with the decision that I've made/what I'm telling you. However, making personal attacks towards me is not productive. [Name of organisation]'s policy requires me to end this conversation if this type of behaviour continues.
	 I'm sorry we weren't able to do what you wanted us to do/had hoped we could do. The fact is
	 Did you call me a [#\$%]? I can't talk to you if you're going to call me that. I'll end this call now and when you feel you're able to speak to me politely/in more moderate language, you can call me back.
	Note: Only repeat swear words if you feel comfortable repeating them and/or it will not escalate the conversation.
	• [After warning] – It seems like you've made your choice, so I'm ending this conversation. If and when you're willing to talk without negative remarks, I'm willing to help. But right now, this conversation is over.
	 I warned you that I would end this call if you continued to speak to me like this. I'm now ending the call.
	 I'm going to hang up now. I invite you to call me back when you're ready to use more appropriate language.

Statement or conduct	Possible responses	
Aggressive behaviour face-to-face.	When you get too close to me, I feel trapped. I'd like you to step back or I'm going to have to ask you to leave.	
	• I've said all that I can about this. I'll have to end our interview here to deal with other people who are waiting.	
	• I'm happy to talk with you about your issue, but not while you're swearing at me. I'll have to end this interview if you don't stop.	
	• I'm a bit thirsty. Would you like me to get you a drink while I get one for myself? [leave the room and seek assistance, if necessary or just take a short time out].	
	• It's clear that you're upset/angry	
	 It's quite difficult for us to focus on the issues that we need to when you are [state conduct]. I'm happy to continue with the interview so long as you stop 	
	• I'm afraid I have to ask you to leave now. If you have any outstanding concerns, you can put them in writing.	
	• I'm going to leave the room now. I invite you to call me back when you're ready to use more appropriate language.	
Escalated behaviour in the presence of others.	• I'm sure you'd prefer that your privacy is protected so let's go to the office and we can continue there.	
	 That sounds like very personal information you're telling me. This isn't a private place and I think it would be better if we talked about this in the next room. 	
	• Why don't we go and sit down in room where we can discuss this in more detail and I can get my file?	
	 So what I hear you saying is that you're upset/frustrated/angry about Why don't we go into the next room and you can tell me more about that feeling and we can see what we can do about it? 	
	 There's a lot of background noise here, perhaps we should go into another room and talk more privately? If the person agrees, take them to the room. 	
	 If you think you may need support, you might say: If you'd like to take a seat, I'm sure we can wrap this up quickly. Let me just go and get your file/other object and I will be right back – Go and get support. 	
Threats	When you say it sounds like you're threatening to Is that what you mean?	
	 It seems to me you're saying that you're going to do something to hurt me/other person. Is this correct? 	
	 So what I hear you saying is that you're going to? 	
	 Did you just say you were going to follow me home and hurt me and my family? 	
	• If this is what you said, I'll have to report your threat to my supervisor. [Name of organisation]'s policy will also require me to report it to the police [or refer to relevant part of your organisation's risk management protocol]. Go on to report it immediately.	

Statement or conduct	Possible responses
I'm going to come over there and	 It seems to me that you're saying you'll do something to damage our offices. Is this correct?
	• If this is correct, I'll have to report your threat to my supervisor. [Name of organisation]'s policy will also require me to report it to the police.
	 So what I hear you saying is that you're going to?
	Did you just say you were going to throw a brick through our door?
	 If this is what you said, I'll have to end this phone call/interview right now and report your threat to my supervisor. [Name of organisation]'s policy will also require me to call the police [or refer to relevant part of your organisation's risk management protocol]. Go on to report the threat immediately.
I'm not leaving. You'll have to carry me out of here.	• I'm not going to force you to leave. It's really up to you what happens next. I'm going to leave and if you want to stay here a little while to think, then that's fine. But if you aren't gone in 20 minutes, we'll have to contact security/the police to escort you out of the office. It's up to you.
Inappropriate	 We can't stop you from posting something online. However, you should be aware that if we are alerted to any online content that either defames, harasses, intimidates or threatens any officer at this organisation or the organisation as a whole, then we may take legal action through the police and/or the courts.
	 I should warn you that [name of organisation] takes such conduct seriously and will take legal action if necessary.
	 We treat inappropriate online behaviour the same way we do inappropriate behaviour generally. If it requires legal action, then we'll do that.
Recording the interview/phone call.	 Are you using a recording device? If you are, you should know that legislation/[name of Act] requires you to have my permission to record me. I don't consent to you doing this.
	 Are you using a recording device? If you are, I'll have to terminate this call/interview and will send in writing instead. I don't agree to being recorded.
	 You should know that if you are recording me you are violating my rights to privacy and confidentiality
	 As you are recording this discussion, I'll have to terminate this phone call/interview here. Goodbye.

2.13. Dealing with anger through effective communication

2.13.1. Understanding and recognising complainant anger

In complaint handling, anger is an understandable – and to a certain degree acceptable – emotion experienced by frustrated and disappointed people. Being shocked and unprepared when you face a disappointed person's anger is generally unrealistic.

However, anger becomes problematic and unacceptable when it escalates into verbal abuse, hostility, threatening behaviour or violence. When it is expressed in these ways, it must be dealt with swiftly and decisively using the suggested management strategies in 2.11 and 2.12 – Strategies and scripted responses to managing unreasonable behaviours.

As people who deal with concerns raised by members of the public, it is essential that we understand and recognise the signs of anger in the people we deal with (and within ourselves) so that we can respond to it (or control it) in the most effective and productive ways possible.

Some of the more common signs and expressions of anger include:5

- raised voices when the person has generally used a more restrained communication style with you previously, yelling, slurred speech or chanting
- · accusatory, dominating or even sexually explicit language
- using words that are intended to intimidate or to achieve a particular result
- · harsh or overly sarcastic humour
- · combative or inflexible behaviour
- · irritability, anxiety or short temper
- · redness in the face or flushed appearance
- hostile facial expressions including lowered eye brows, direct prolonged eye contact
 when this has not been the person's eye contact pattern with you previously, narrowing
 or rolling of the eyes or flared nostrils
- visible muscular tension in the face, neck, hands, scalp or back clenched fists or jaws, grinding teeth
- intrusive behaviour such as entering into other people's personal space when they previously have maintained more distance from you, or entering areas of the office that are off-limits or they have not been invited into
- exaggerated gestures and finger pointing or waving when the person has not previously used these expressive styles with you
- · the onset of dominant body posture and positioning
- · persistent sweating, heavy breathing or loud sighing
- the onset of restlessness and repetitive movements including pacing around, tapping their feet continually, constant repositioning in a chair or standing up frequently
- physical aggression including throwing paper, shoving things or pounding the table.

^{5.} Department of Human Services (Vic) 2005, Staff safety in the workplace: Guidelines for the protection and management of occupational violence for Victorian Child Protection and community-based Juvenile Justice staff, Victoria, p 25. Copyright © State of Victoria, Australia. Reproduced with permission of the Secretary to the Department of Human Services. Unauthorised reproduction and other uses comprised in the copyright are prohibited without permission.

You will note that a number of these 'signs' of anger are physiological. This is because anger is one of the most physically arousing emotions that human beings experience. It can block our judgement and affect our ability to reason, problem solve and process information – making it very difficult to communicate in productive ways.⁶

In complaint handling, this usually means acknowledging and addressing a person's anger before you can effectively deal with their substantive issue of concern. You will also need to recognise your own triggers for becoming angry and develop ways to manage them as well. Ultimately, it is your responses to the person's anger and your communication style that will be the significant determinant in whether your interaction with an angry person escalates to crisis point.

2.13.2. Using the CARP method to defuse a person's anger

CARP is an acronym developed by Robert Bacal to help address anger. CARP can help you to sequence and time how you defuse anger and hostility. It stands for:

- Control control the interaction and your anger.
- Acknowledge acknowledge the person's anger and give them an opportunity to 'let off steam'.
- **Refocus** refocus the conversation on to the substantive issues.
- **Problem solve** find solutions for dealing with those issues.

The order of CARP is very important, in particular leaving the problem solving to the end. If you try to problem solve or refocus too quickly, you are likely to find yourself explaining the same thing over and over again – because the person will persist in wanting to tell you about their story and how angry, frustrated or disappointed it has made them. If this happens, go back to acknowledging their feelings and emotions and rework your way back down the sequence.⁷

2.13.3. Communication strategies for avoiding or minimising the triggers for anger, conflict and unreasonable conduct

In addition to the CARP method, the suggestions in the table that follows are designed to promote optimum communication and lessen the triggers for conflict and unreasonable conduct. They are divided into two columns:

- **Dos** things that you can do to facilitate non-confrontational communication and build your relationships with the people you deal with.
- **Don'ts** things that tend to lead to conflict and unreasonable conduct and should be avoided during interactions with the people you deal with.

These suggestions can be used in your interactions with all the people you need to deal with, not just those whose behaviour you find difficult.

^{6.} Lerner J et al, 'Like fuel in the fire: How anger impacts on judgement and decision making' http://content.ksg. harvard.edu/lernerlab/pdfs/fuel_in_the_fire_litvak_lerner_tiedens_shonk.pdf Accessed on 21 September 2011.

^{7.} Bacal, R 2010, Defusing Hostile Customers Workbook, 3rd edn, Bacal & Associates, Casselman, p 28.

DO	DON'T
Acknowledge their emotions and give them a chance to let off steam. You might also say: I can see how strongly you feel about this It sounds like you are very unhappy/ angry about this	Don't allow venting if it might encourage unreasonable conduct or will go on for longer than five minutes. This can do more damage, as it will revive the person's negative feelings about their experience.
Control your own emotions	Don't react to aggression and 'triggers'. You could say instead: • Yes, I know some people believe this • You are entitled to your view • I see
Show empathy for their stress and anger.	Don't allow your empathy to affect your objectivity.
Echo what they are saying. This can show you are listening.	Don't echo what they are saying if you don't fully understand what they have said. Don't put words in their mouth, and don't echo offensive language as it may escalate the situation.
Acknowledge their point of view without agreeing. You might say: I can see that you believe I do understand that your position is	Don't disregard their point of view. People can have different but equally valid viewpoints, interpretations, perceptions and recollections of the same issue or event.
Anticipate likely counterarguments/ objections the person may raise and address them initially: • I can see that you may say that so let me explain why things have happened this way.	Don't present counterarguments unfairly or in a way that might be seen as confrontational or disrespectful.
Find things you and the person can agree on, without accepting their whole argument. I agree that \$2,000 is a lot of money to lose. Two weeks does seem like a long time to wait.	Don't make promises or agree to something that you will have to go back on later.
Use 'I' and 'we' messages. These create a connection, demonstrate respect and build trust.	Don't use 'you' messages in a way that might be perceived as confrontational or accusatory.

DO	DON'T
Ask questions to keep a conversation under control. 'When', 'what', 'where' or 'how' questions can help to respond to accusations and other claims. • What has made you think I am not taking you seriously?	Don't use 'why' questions if they can be avoided. Some people see why as an attack, and it can lead to a defensive response.
Avoid jargon and use a communication style that is comfortable for the person.	Don't say things to make the person feel inferior or excluded.
Use 'I' and 'we' messages. These create a connection, demonstrate respect and build trust.	Don't ignore what is not being said. What is the person avoiding discussing?
Clarify the issues in dispute and the impact these are having on the person.	Don't forget to outline what they can expect from you, and also what you expect from them.
Ask for clarification if you are unsure about something. You might say: I understand the situation is Is that correct?	Don't assume anything.
Explain carefully why you are following certain processes or procedures.	Don't be too formal or bureaucratic, as this can make people feel inferior and frustrated. Avoid saying: That's the law. I just follow the policy.
Be personable and build rapport.	Don't be too informal or joke around. This could make it seem like you are not taking someone seriously.
Give someone space and time to think something through or control their anger and frustration. You could do this by taking a break to talk to a supervisor or check a piece of information.	Don't tell the person they need time to 'cool off' or 'calm down'.
Admit mistakes and apologise.	Don't make excuses, defend or deny.

2.13.4. Knowing your triggers

Triggers are those things that people say and do that can cause you to become angry or frustrated. It may be their choice of words or their tone of voice. Whatever it is, it can sometimes cause even experienced practitioners to lose control and say or do things they then regret.⁸

It is important that you identify these triggers because you cannot reason properly, process information or problem solve while you are angry – making it difficult to manage your interaction with the person you are dealing with.

You should also be careful, in cases where you do not have full control over your anger, not to ever express it in ways that are likely to escalate your interaction with the person you are dealing with. This includes sighing, rolling your eyes, muttering things under your breath, laughing inappropriately, or being defensive or overly reactive. In these situations, it may be best for you to take some time out and return to the conversation when you have had time to cool off.

What are your triggers?9

Take some time to figure out your triggers. Ask yourself:

- What things do people say or do that push my buttons?
- How do I normally react when those buttons are pushed? With confrontation do I give in, become dismissive or am I unaffected?
- What types of interactions cause me the most concern face-to-face interviews, home visits, phone calls? Why?
- How do I deal with such situations? How do my reactions compare to the suggestions in this manual?

2.13.5. Using self-talk to manage your own anger¹⁰

To manage your emotions and anger when dealing with challenging situations, you might try using self-talk. Self-talk is what you say to yourself in your head when you are dealing with a challenging situation. Constructive self-talk can help you to keep things in perspective and recognise that a person's anger is likely to be due to their circumstances rather than anything you have done. As a starting point, you need to leave your ego out of it. Provided you have not done something inappropriate that has triggered the behaviour, recognise that while it might look, sound and feel personal, the person would in all likelihood be angry and reacting in the same way no matter who was in your shoes.

Some examples of positive/constructive self-talk to manage your anger and frustration include:

- They aren't really angry at me personally.
- · I can handle this.
- Boy, do they have it rough.
- This person really needs some help.

^{8.} Bacal R, Defusing Hostile Customer Workbook, p 40.

^{9.} Office for Children, Department of Human Services 2005, Staff safety in the workplace: Guidelines for the protection and management of occupational violence for Victorian Child Protection and community-based Juvenile Justice staff, Victoria, p 25.

^{10.} For more examples of self-talk, see Bacal R, Defusing hostile customers workbook, p 42.

- I am better than this. I will not stoop down to that level.
- I'm not going to be suckered into this.
- I will remain calm and cool.
- I'm going to approach this as a challenge to not get angry.

Some examples of negative self-talk to avoid include:

- I'm so useless at dealing with these people.
- Why do I always get the difficult ones?
- Oh gosh. I hope no one is listening to this. They'll think I can't cope.
- This is so unfair.
- He has some nerve saying that
- I don't deserve this.
- · Why are they doing this to me?
- Oh my god. What do I do?
- I'm not paid enough to deal with this crap.
- I feel put upon because they are so angry.
- There goes my day.

Most of the examples of negative self-talk would indicate that someone has taken the person's comments and anger personally.

2.14. Tips to resolve or avoid escalating conflict

2.14.1. Expectations

Manage expectations

Conflict often arises out of different expectations about how an issue /dispute will be dealt with or its outcome.

Manage expectations from the outset, if possible – both yours and the other person's. Point out what you can do and cannot do, and will do and will not do. If later you discover that the other person's expectations are unrealistic, attempt to explain why this is so.

Clarify limits on discretion

Sometimes a conflict is triggered by a decision made by a person or organisation in circumstances where they have either no control or very limited discretion. For example, there may be jurisdictional or power issues, or other fixed and immutable statutory requirements or practical realities. In such cases, the issue is actually with the law or lack of resources (that is, responsibilities of government), not the implementation (that is, responsibilities of the decision-maker).

Where possible, identify any causes of the conflict over which you and/or your organisation have no control or very limited discretion. If relevant, point this out to the other person – noting that this means you have no or very limited responsibility or ability to act.

Accept differences of opinion

Accept that people can have valid perspectives, perceptions or recollections that are different to yours – that there can be alternative valid interpretations and opinions.

Accept limitations on logical argument

Accept that it is unlikely you will be able to reason a person out of a view they did not arrive at through reason.

2.14.2. Approach

Show empathy

Show empathy for the other person's feelings by showing sympathy if appropriate.

Be careful about saying 'I understand'. Saying 'I understand' about the information conveyed by the complainant is ok. Saying 'I understand' about the complainant's situation or experience is probably not ok.

Acknowledge or validate the other person's feelings/emotions and point of view without necessarily agreeing with their perspective. For example, 'I've got a sense how strongly you feel about this', 'I can hear that you are very upset about this', 'I can see that you've gone to a lot of trouble to get all this material together', or 'I hear how hard ... has been for you').

Show respect

No matter what is said or done, it is operationally imperative to treat the other person respectfully.

Admit mistakes and apologise

If a problem has been caused (in whole or part) by a mistake, omission or delay by you or your organisation, admit the mistake and apologise.

Allow space and time to think

Allow time for the other party to think through an issue – for example, people who are upset may not be thinking clearly.

If in doubt, it is better to slow down rather than speed up the discussion.

Create physical and thinking space, if necessary, by having breaks in discussion to allow people time to think/reflect.

Focus

Focus on the issue/problem/task not the person. Don't allow the focus to move from the issue to the person, from constructive responses to destructive responses.

Focus on the issue, not the emotions

Focus on the issue, not on your emotional response to the issue.

While showing empathy, try to influence the other person to focus on the issue, not their emotions – for example, by asking questions about the facts to move the person from a feeling state to a thinking state.

2.14.3. Communication

Use 'I' and 'We' messages, not 'You' messages

'I' messages are about communicating and sharing your concerns, about articulating worries. 'I' messages do not make demands or try to persuade somebody to change their views. The aim should not be to criticise or condemn the other person, or to get the other person to act in a particular way or change their behaviour. 'I' messages take responsibility.

'We' messages are about cooperation and inclusion – for example, 'We could look at it this way ...' or 'How can we resolve this?'

Avoid confrontational language when asking questions

Where possible, avoid 'Why ...?' questions in non-adversarial context in favour of 'What ...?', 'What happened ...?', 'When ...?', 'Where ...?' and 'How ...?', 'How come ...?' questions that are less likely to be seen as confrontational.

Find something to agree with

Find something to agree with, without necessarily agreeing with the other person's point of view. For example – 'I agree that \$2,000 is a lot of money to lose', 'I agree that not hearing back from [the organisation] would be very annoying', or 'I agree that having your pension reinstated would be a great help'.

Listen with interest

Engage. Paraphrase, or at least echo or repeat the other person's key words back to them. If face-to-face, make eye contact but do not stare.

Listen to what is being said, but also listen for what is not being said – for example, what is underlying what is being said, what is being avoided, etc.

Do not argue

- Don't argue, defend or deny keep your ego out of it.
- Acknowledge the other person's position or understanding and state that you or your
 organisation's position or understanding is different (for example, 'I can see that you
 believe ..., however we have come to a different conclusion', or 'I do understand that
 your position is ..., however our position is a little different').
- Where a problem has been caused by a mistake, omission or delay on your part or on the part of your organisation, while explanations are acceptable, excuses are generally counterproductive.

Anticipate and address counterarguments

• Anticipate the likely counterarguments/valid objections and address them up front – for example, 'at this point you may well say that …'. Counterarguments should be presented fairly and seriously, showing respect for different viewpoints and that they are valid.

2.14.4. Clarification

Admit ignorance/seek clarification

- When in doubt, ask questions seeking explanation/clarification (for example, 'As I understand it, the situation is Is this correct?' or 'From what you tell me it seems Is this the case?'). Asking questions prevents hasty and polarised responses, and involves both parties using the 'thinking' parts of their brains.
- Do not interpret and do not assume. In particular, in the absence of clear evidence, do not attribute adverse motives or assume adverse intent.

Clarify boundaries – what you do not want to happen

- Communicate your boundaries to the conflict (sometimes referred to as 'non-values') that is, the course of events that you do not want to occur or the boundaries or limits that you set on yourself to limit or minimise damaging effects that might flow from the dispute. For example, 'I don't want ...' followed by an explanation of what you do not want to occur/achieve.
- Communicating boundaries also shows that you are taking responsibility for your actions or omissions or those of your organisation.
- It is important to seek consensus on the boundaries of the dispute as a preliminary to seeking consensus on 'positive' values.

Clarify the issues in dispute

Identify the issues in dispute:

- clarify what each party sees as the issues in dispute
- clarify the points the parties agree on, for example, by listing and comparing the contentious issues of each party and acknowledging common points and differences.

Once the core issues are agreed on:

- break down these issues into their different aspects and then into the various components/ dimensions of those aspects – this helps to clarify and define the core questions
- at this stage no statements should be made for or against the different positions, that is, do not discuss content at this point
- begin by agreeing to discuss the less emotive issues/questions in order to achieve a few quick successes.

Clarify the impact of the problem

• Clarify what impact the problem has had on the other person – this may be necessary to be able to show appropriate sympathy/empathy, and will be necessary to identify the needs (and therefore the objectives) of the other person.

Clarify the process to be followed

 Clarify and get agreement about the process to be followed to address the conflict/ resolve the problem.

2.14.5. Behaviour

Manage anger

- · Be assertive, not aggressive or passive.
- Anger in itself is a natural emotion and can be positive if it signals that personal boundaries have been violated or rights infringed. However, everyone is responsible for how they act on their anger. Anger only becomes unacceptable if it becomes rage that is expressed through abuse, hostility or threats or violence.

Avoid overreaction

- Remain calm, no matter how the other person behaves.
- Set limits when it appears that rudeness, anger, threats or aggression may transgress your personal boundaries.
- In setting limits, offer choices (not ultimatums) which have consequences.
- Explain what behaviour is inappropriate and why.
- Adopt a zero tolerance response to threats and violence.

Show respect

• Show respect and courtesy in all your dealings, no matter what the provocation.

Respect personal space

• Do not invade the other person's personal space.

Be aware of your non-verbal communication

- Keep your non-verbal cues non-threatening be aware of your tone of voice, facial expressions and gestures.
- If standing, adopt a non-threatening stance including not standing eye-to-eye and toe-to-toe (for example, by turning your body partially sideways, showing palms and wrists with hands pointing down, etc).

2.14.6. Outcomes

Be clear about your objective

• Be clear about whether your objective is to avoid or resolve conflict, or to attribute blame.

Be creative

• Recognise there is generally no single 'right' solution.

Seek finality

- Aim to resolve conflict in constructive, conciliatory and mutually acceptable ways that are sustainable and likely to provide finality to the dispute.
- The best outcome is a 'win-win' situation that both parties can live with. This may be because both parties have achieved their objectives, or one or both parties have changed their expectations as to what is an acceptable outcome possibly through a better understanding of the process or limitations that apply.

2.15. Ten steps for responding to threats, hostility and aggression

2.15.1. Recognising danger signals and reviewing risk

- Recognise the signs of anger whether or not the anger is directed at you.
- Ask yourself: 'Am I in danger?'
- If 'yes' remove yourself from harm's way as quickly as possible. Walk through the nearest door into a more secure area, and then inform the person that the interview has/ will be terminated for example, 'I cannot continue this interview while you are behaving in an angry way or making threats.'
- If the threat abates that is, the person's behaviour improves then you can restart the interview based on clear behavioural ground rules.

Repeating

- Make sure threats are clarified (made overt) and the person takes ownership of the threat by repeating the statement as close to verbatim as possible – for example, 'You have just said to me that'
- Ask if this is what the person meant to say and whether it is in fact a threat to cause harm for example, 'Is that what you meant? Are you threatening me?'

Reacting

- React to all threats by explicitly acknowledging them whether they are overt or covert threats to you, to themselves or to others.
- Always show some reaction to a threat, even if minimal for example, take a five-minute break.
- · Do not overreact or mirror the threatening language or the threatening behaviour.
- Continue to show respect even when the person is being rude or threatening.

Responding

- Ask the person to stop the behaviour 'Mr ... stop shouting at me' while informing the person of the organisation's protocols for responding to threats.
- Communicate clearly and consistently what the consequences will be if the behaviour continues.

Redirecting

- Redirect or distract the attention of the person with actions or comments that do not reward the behaviour.
- Ask questions about the substantive issue to try to move the person from the 'emotional' state back into a 'cognitive' or thinking state.
- Take a five-minute break or offer a cold drink, if needed.

Refocusing

• If you are able to help the person bring their emotions under control, refocus attention on their issue.

A question about the facts can change a person's focus from feelings to thinking about the substance of their issue.

Raising concerns

• If you feel threatened, activate a silent alarm (if available) or leave the room and call for assistance from other staff.

Running

• If all else fails and you feel an imminent risk of harm – run (or at least move quickly) to a safe location.

Recording

• Always make a 'verbatim' record of all threats and put a copy on the relevant file.

Reporting and reviewing responses

- Report the matter to a supervisor/manager so that both of you can review your responses to the threatening behaviour and identify strategies to manage or control any future interactions with the person.
- You may want a formal or informal debrief after the incident.